

SCSL Digital Collections

[Veto of H. 3670, R-55]

Item Type	Text
Publisher	South Carolina State Library
Rights	Copyright status undetermined. For more information contact, South Carolina State Library, 1500 Senate Street, Columbia, South Carolina 29201.
Download date	2024-10-06 03:58:36
Link to Item	http://hdl.handle.net/10827/2736



State of South Carolina

Office of the Governor

MARK SANFORD
GOVERNOR

POST OFFICE BOX 12267
COLUMBIA 29211

April 22, 2003

The Honorable David H. Wilkins
Speaker of the House of Representatives
Post Office Box 11867
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

I am hereby returning without my approval H. 3670, R-55, a Joint Resolution:

TO PROVIDE THAT THE SCHOOL DAY MISSED ON FEBRUARY 17, 2003, BY THE STUDENTS OF A SCHOOL IN THE DARLINGTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT WHEN THE SCHOOL WAS CLOSED DUE TO SNOW, ICE, OR INCLEMENT WEATHER CONDITIONS IS EXEMPTED FROM THE MAKE-UP REQUIREMENT OF THE DEFINED MINIMUM PLAN THAT FULL SCHOOL DAYS MISSED DUE TO EXTREME WEATHER OR OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES BE MADE UP.

This veto is based upon my belief that H. 3670, R-55 is unconstitutional.

Though well-intentioned as it might be, H. 3670, R-55 is an example of specific legislation that has been enacted to address circumstances that could have been addressed by general legislation. The state constitution clearly prohibits the enactment of special legislation where a "general law can be made applicable." S.C. Const. Art. III, Section 34 (IX). The General Assembly could establish a general statute that sets forth the general types of events or occasions when missed school days may be exempted from the make-up requirement, and authorize school districts throughout the state to exercise discretion in granting such exemptions. The recurring nature of legislation like H. 3670, R-55 demonstrates the policy basis for Article III, Section 34's directive that special legislation be avoided in all cases where general legislation can be enacted.


The Honorable David H. Wilkins

April 22, 2003

Page 2

In summary, I believe the specific nature of H. 3670, R-55 renders this Act unconstitutional. For this reason, I am returning H. 3670, R-55 to you without my signature.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Sanford", written in a cursive style.

Mark Sanford
Governor