

A3 A0255
1.994
Copy 1

SOUTH CAROLINA LEGISLATIVE AUDIT COUNCIL



ANNUAL REPORT 1993-1994

Printed Under The Direction Of The
State Budget And Control Board

S. C. STATE LIBRARY

DEC 15 1994

STATE DOCUMENTS


November 1, 1994

His Excellency, Carroll A. Campbell, Jr., Governor
and Members of the General Assembly

This is the annual report of the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1994. In addition to a general overview of the organization and activities of the council, this report contains a summary of the 13 performance audits released during the year. If you have questions relating to any of these audits, please do not hesitate to contact me.

The Audit Council encourages legislative requests for performance audits and is committed to audit work that will be responsive to legislative needs. Our staff maintains the highest professional standards for quality, and we welcome questions or comments concerning our work.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "George L. Schroeder", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

George L. Schroeder
Director

Table of Contents

Organization	1
Audit Work	1
Review of Audits Completed	6
Organization Chart	11
Audit Team Configuration	12
Receipts and Disbursements	13
Publication List	14

Organization

The Legislative Audit Council (LAC), established in 1975, is composed of three public members and six ex officio members. The public members, one of whom must be a practicing certified or licensed public accountant, are elected from nominees presented by a nominating committee in a joint session of the General Assembly. Any person who is a member of the General Assembly, or has served in the preceding two years, cannot be elected as a public member of the Legislative Audit Council. Public members are elected to six-year terms and vote on all LAC matters.

The six ex officio members of the LAC are the chairs of the Senate and House Judiciary Committees, the chairs of the Senate Finance and the House Ways and Means Committees, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Lieutenant Governor. Ex officio members can vote on all matters except those pertaining to audit functions and personnel.

The Legislative Audit Council staff of 20 is headed by a director. The audit staff includes CPAs, attorneys and professional auditors. Most staff have earned advanced degrees and/or professional certification, with backgrounds in business management, public administration, accounting, law, political science, education, economics, computer science, and statistics. A diversity in staff training and experience allows the LAC to bring a broad perspective to its audit work.

Council Members

Sherri Mathews-Hazel, CPA, Chair
Robert S. McCoy, Jr.

Audit Work

The Legislative Audit Council is the oversight agency of the South Carolina General Assembly. Under §2-15-10 *et seq.* of the South Carolina Code of Laws, the LAC is required to audit the operations of state agencies, to investigate fiscal matters as required, and to provide information to assist the General Assembly. Some audits are conducted at the request of legislators who have questions about potential problems in state agencies or programs. Other audits are performed as a result of statutory mandate. For example, the Sunset Act of 1978 mandates specific audits to be performed on a regular basis. During FY 92-93, the LAC issued 13 performance audits.

The Legislative Audit Council conducts independent, objective performance audits of state operations. This work provides accountability in the management of public funds. The LAC has provided benefits to the citizens of South Carolina at a low cost. The LAC budget for FY 93-94 was approximately \$1.02 million, which represents only .03% of the state dollars appropriated for that year. Through its work, the LAC identifies savings which far exceed the agency's budget. For example, the special report, *Cost Savings for*

State Government, published in January 1992, identified direct savings of more than \$500 million over five years. In addition, benefits of the LAC's work can be seen through improved management in state agencies and programs.

Types of Audits

The LAC provides a number of services to members of the General Assembly, including program evaluations, compliance audits, economy and efficiency reviews, limited-scope audits, special investigations, briefings, testimony, and other governmental research and assistance.

LAC studies usually address:

- Ways in which agencies may operate more economically and efficiently.
- Whether the agency or program is operating within legislative intent and in compliance with statutes and regulations.
- Whether desired program results or benefits established by the General Assembly are being achieved.

LAC projects may be very limited in scope and require only a few months to complete, or may involve comprehensive review of an agency, requiring extensive fieldwork.

Sunset Audits

The Sunset Act of 1978 (Act 608, Title 1, Chapter 20) has required that the LAC conduct full-scope reviews of state regulatory agencies and boards on a six-year cycle. These reviews address eight statutory questions and determine the impact that would occur if these agencies were terminated or modified. The State Reorganization Commission and appropriate legislative committees use the findings from sunset audits as they consider whether to take action to reestablish the agencies. Public hearings are held as a part of this review process.

In FY 93-94, the LAC participated in the public hearings of eight boards scheduled to be terminated June 30, 1994. The LAC also reviewed ten other boards scheduled for termination June 30, 1995.

As amended by Act 497 (Part II, §117) of 1994, the sunset law no longer contains a scheduled timetable for the termination of specific boards and regulatory agencies. Instead, the State Reorganization Commission will annually determine which agencies or agencies' programs will be subject to the sunset process. The LAC will review the

specified agencies or programs to determine their efficiency and effectiveness, as well as the need for their continued existence.

Audit Requests

Requests are received in writing from members of the General Assembly. Other audits are performed when mandated by a statute or resolution. When a request for an audit is received, the requestor is notified that it will be placed on the Legislative Audit Council agenda.

The LAC evaluates and schedules the audit request using several criteria including:

- The Legislative Audit Council's enabling legislation, which states the request may come from:
 - . . . the General Assembly or either of its respective bodies, a standing committee, and the Speaker of the House, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, or not less than five members of the General Assembly.
- The impact of the program or agency on the citizens of South Carolina.
- The amount of public funds appropriated to the agency.
- The potential impact of the LAC's recommendations on the efficient and effective delivery of services.
- The existing workload.

The Legislative Audit Council encourages members and committees of the General Assembly to submit requests for audits.

Audit Standards

In order to present unbiased information to the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Council seeks to work in an objective and independent manner. All audit reports are conducted according to the generally accepted governmental auditing standards established by the United States General Accounting Office (GAO) and endorsed by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

In July 1988, the GAO released revised audit standards entitled *Government Auditing Standards* (referred to as the "Yellow Book"). Members of the LAC staff were actively involved in the development of these changes. The standards include requirements for the auditor's professional qualifications, the methodology used in conducting an audit, and the characteristics of professional audit reports. The standards help ensure that audits are fair, objective and reliable assessments of government performance.

The LAC also uses the audit exit process to prevent unintentional error or bias. The LAC provides a draft copy of the audit report to the audited agency under strict confidentiality guidelines. The agency is invited to reply to the audit in writing, and these written comments become a part of the final report. Until the report is released to the General Assembly, the information it contains is confidential. Upon publication, all audits become a matter of public record.

Peer Review

All government agencies and private firms in the United States that operate under the GAO *Government Auditing Standards* must undergo a GAO-sanctioned external quality control review every three years. The Audit Council participated in its second peer review in November 1993 and again received an unqualified opinion from the National State Auditors Association (NSAA).

The NSAA found that the Audit Council's system of quality control provided reasonable assurance of compliance with generally accepted government auditing standards in effect for audits issued during the period January 1992 through December 1992, and met the objectives of the NSAA quality control peer review guidelines.

Report Distribution

The LAC distributes copies of audits to each member of the General Assembly, the clerks of the House and Senate, the state constitutional officers, the Office of the Governor, the State Library, the news media, and the agency under review. Members of the public and other interested parties can obtain copies of audits upon request. In addition, the audit supervisor of each audit is available to discuss any specific questions about audits.

Efficiency and Effectiveness Factors

The Legislative Audit Council has identified the following performance measures for FY 93-94.

FY 93-94	
Workload Indicators:	
FTE Auditors	17.18
Audit Hours	25,710
Auditor Training Hours	1,333
Efficiency Measures:	
Average Audit Per-Hour Cost	\$26.19
Average Number of Days From Draft Report to Publication	80.8
Average Number of Training Hours Per Auditor	77.59
Percent of Agency Workforce That Have Received At Least 1-5 Days of Quality Management Training	100%
Average Printing Cost Per Report	\$3.02
Effectiveness Measures:	
Number of Reports Published in Response to Legislative Request	13
Number of Legislative Inquiries Received and Answered	13
Number of Public Inquiries Received and Answered ^a	
Amount of Cost Savings/Improved Resource Allocation Identified	\$7.2 million
Number of Recommendations for Improved Government Performance	164
Percent of Demonstrated Compliance With Audit Standards	100%
Percent of Legislative Customers Satisfied With Overall Quality of Report	100%
Number of Agency Operations Identified and Reviewed for Quality Improvement ^a	
Percent of Audit Staff With Graduate Degrees/Licenses	94%
Number of Areas in Which Audit Staff Hold Degrees, or Licenses	20

^a New measures for 1995-96 for which we are currently collecting data.

Energy Conservation

The Legislative Audit Council has adopted an energy conservation plan for use in the event of an energy emergency. The LAC's energy costs are included in rent payments for our leased office space. Staff are encouraged to practice efficient use of energy at all times.

Review of Audits Completed

The following section provides a brief summary of the audits completed during FY 93-94. A comprehensive list of all audits performed since the inception of the Legislative Audit Council in 1975 follows the summaries on page 14.

A Management Review of the Savannah Valley Authority, September 1993

We conducted a management audit of the Savannah Valley Authority (SVA), an agency whose mission was to promote economic development in the 13 counties of the Savannah River basin. We reviewed the contracts and projects administered by the SVA and found that the authority did not hold its contractors and other entities accountable for the results of state expenditures.

We identified several problems with the authority's contract with The Fontaine Company, Inc. The SVA paid the consultant more than \$2 million in fees and expenses from December 1990 through May 1993. The contract lacked any provision requiring that services be completed, and many of the services required by the contract were not completed and did not result in an identifiable product or outcome for the SVA. The contract gave the contractor sole discretion in assigning employees to tasks, and 83% of the fees charged were at the highest rate of \$150 per hour. The SVA director did not establish procedures to authorize Fontaine's work or monitor costs, and the authority paid for work that appeared unnecessary.

We reviewed the SVA's expenditures for lobbying and found that the authority underreported the amount it spent to lobby state government by more than \$91,000 in a 15-month period. The SVA did not exercise appropriate controls over the \$385,000 it paid the Water Resources Commission to develop a computer model of the Savannah River lake system. We also identified problems with the authority's planning for a development on Lake Richard B. Russell.

We also reviewed issues relating to the authority's first major development project, Savannah Lakes Village. We found that the special tax paid by the development's residents should be adequate to meet the debt service schedule on a \$20 million loan from the State Insurance Reserve Fund. We also identified problems with the authority's internal management of personnel, accounting, vehicles and equipment.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Management Review of South Carolina State University, January 1994

We conducted a management review of South Carolina State University in response to allegations of mismanagement by the previous president and his staff. Our review focused on business practices, personnel administration and admissions.

We found that the university needs to institute procedures to collect debts owed the university, and comply with agency policies pertaining to debt collection. In addition,

the university has not maintained an "arms length" relationship with its private foundation, and has not maintained adequate control over its equipment inventory.

Academic administration could be improved. For example, students who did not meet the university's admissions requirements have been admitted, scholarships have been awarded to students who did not meet the scholarships' requirements, and students have not been disciplined in accordance with university policy.

In personnel administration, we found that policies concerning dual employment were not followed and graduate assistants did not meet standards for employment.

Contact Person: Tom Bardin

Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism: A Limited Review of Special Funds Management, April 1994

We audited the Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism (PRT) with an emphasis on the agency's use and management of admissions tax and Parks and Recreation Development (PARAD) funds. We found no major problems with PRT's management and use of the funds reviewed. The agency has generally complied with statutory provisions governing the funds.

Prior to FY 93-94, the admissions tax funds were designated by statute to be used to advertise and promote tourism in South Carolina. For the three years reviewed, the agency spent the majority of the funds in direct advertising and promotion (60%) and local funds sharing grants (23%). We found no material problems with administration of the tourism funds sharing grants or the procurement and management of the department's major advertising contract.

We made recommendations for improvement in billing controls and in the operation of PRT's internal audit function. We also recommended that the department reevaluate its procedure of giving funds designated for Canadian promotion directly to local chambers of commerce.

The PARAD funds allocated to PRT must be spent for planning and development of new recreation facilities or for renovations to existing facilities. The PARAD grants program has appropriate controls to ensure that awards are made and funds spent in accordance with statutory mandate. We recommend that PRT keep records of all proposed PARAD projects, including those that were not funded.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Public Service Commission, June 1994

We found that economic regulation of the trucking industry is not warranted. The PSC has not enforced statutes pertaining to truckers, and there is sufficient market competition to ensure adequate services at fair prices.

In utilities, we recommend that the PSC more clearly address alternative wholesale providers of electricity in its long-range planning policy. In addition, issues pertaining to competition in the electric industry need to be addressed. We also found that utilities have not adjusted their rates to account for lower fuel costs.

In telecommunications, the PSC could do more to foster competition in short-range long distance phone service. In addition, continued regulation of mobile phone services and pager services is no longer warranted.

Contact Person: Tom Bardin

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Manufactured Housing Board, June 1994

Although we found that the regulation of manufacturers and dealers benefits the public and should be continued, we concluded that continued regulation of manufacturer representatives and salesmen is not necessary and should be discontinued. We recommend the General Assembly consider requiring the licensure of installers of manufactured housing to provide additional protection to the public. The Manufactured Housing Board corrected several problems noted in our 1988 sunset review. However, the board continued to generate less revenue than necessary to regulate the manufactured housing industry. Also, the board did not require all manufacturer and dealer applicants to furnish required proof of financial responsibility prior to licensure.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Real Estate Commission, June 1994

We recommend the continued regulation of the real estate profession. However, we identified several areas where the commission did not implement recommendations from our 1988 audit. The commission still does not have a policies and procedures manual and has not ensured that its examinations meet professional testing standards. Also, the vacation time sharing plans act needs to be reviewed and updated. We recommend that the General Assembly consider eliminating the Vacation Time Sharing Recovery Fund and study the need for a general recovery fund to cover all real estate claims.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Residential Builders Commission, June 1994

We concluded that regulation of the residential building profession benefits the public and should be continued. However, we found that the regulation of residential specialty contractors (such as electricians, painters, and plumbers) lacks the means to protect the consumer and should be discontinued. Specialty contractors do not have to meet minimum qualifications to become registered, and certain specialty trades are already regulated by the Licensing Board for Contractors and the South Carolina Municipal Association. Also, the board's examination was developed by commission staff and builders, and may not meet professional testing standards.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Licensing Board for Contractors, June 1994

We concluded that regulation of the contracting profession benefits the public and should be continued. In general, the board is administered efficiently. We identified some provisions of board statutes that are restrictive and could impose added costs to consumers. Statutory provisions that require burglar alarm contractors to pass a fire alarm examination could prevent qualified applicants from being licensed. State laws that require contractors to be licensed before bidding on a project are in conflict with some federal regulations and may restrict competition. Also, we recommend that the board be granted the authority to impose penalties less severe than license revocation.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, June 1994

We found that the regulation of the engineering and land surveying professions benefits the public and should be continued. In general, the board is administered efficiently. However, we identified provisions of the 1993 statute establishing an associate level licensure for professional engineers which limit the practice of these engineers and could restrict competition within the profession. Also, the requirement that engineering and land surveying corporations obtain certificates of authorization and pay renewal fees does not serve a regulatory function and could be discontinued.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Environmental Certification Board, June 1994

The regulation of water and wastewater operators and well drillers benefits the public and should be continued. However, there is no longer a need to regulate percolation test technicians. We found that the board has been operating a certification program for swimming pool operators without statutory authority. Also, the board needs to improve its handling of complaints. It has not followed up on orders forwarded from DHEC regarding violations of well drillers. The board's requirement that an operator be employed prior to certification could be restrictive and should be discontinued.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Real Estate Appraisers Board, June 1994

The Real Estate Appraisers Board was established in 1991 to license appraisers in accordance with the requirements of federal law. We concluded that regulations of real estate appraisers benefits the public and should be continued. However, one category of licensure, "registered appraiser," may not be necessary and could cause confusion for consumers. The board has not investigated and resolved complaints in a timely manner. In 18 of 25 (72%) complaints reviewed, there was no documentation that the board

completed complaint investigations. Also, the board has not adopted written policies and procedures for complaints or other administrative functions.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing

A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Board of Long Term Health Care Administrators, June 1994

We found that the regulation of nursing home administrators and community residential care facility administrators benefits the public and should be continued. The board needs to take action to ensure that complaint investigations are carried out when information on complaints is received from other agencies. We also found problems with the administration of the licensing process. We identified applicants for community residential care facility administrator licenses who stated on their applications that they had been convicted of a crime other than a traffic violation. There was no evidence that the board inquired into the cases prior to licensure. Also, although high school graduation is required for licensure as a community residential care facility administrator, the board has not required verification of graduation.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing


A Sunset Review of the South Carolina Board of Registration for Geologists, June 1994

We found that the regulation of geologists benefits the public and should be continued. We followed up on problems noted in our 1991 audit of the board and found that some improvements had been made. However, the board still did not have appropriate management controls over its administrative services contract. The board continued to pay its contracted administrator for excess hours without appropriate documentation. The board also allowed the contractor's staff to sign all vouchers, including those payable to themselves. Also, by waiving renewal registration fees for board members, the board has not complied with state law.

Contact Person: Jane Thesing


Legislative Audit Council

Organization Chart



Legal Counsel provides legal support for all audit teams.

Audit Team Configuration



October 1994

Director
George L. Schroeder

Deputy Director
Cheryl A. Ridings

Senior Audit Manager
Thomas J. Bardin, Jr.

Audit Manager
Jane I. Thesing

Senior Auditor II
Priscilla T. Anderson
Andrew M. Young

Senior Auditor I
Perry K. Simpson

Senior Legal Counsel
Jane McCue Johnson, J.D.

Associate Auditor
Marcia S. Ashford
Lynn U. Ballentine, CPA
Elizabeth W. Oakman
Sara Schechter-Schoeman, J.D.
Kathleen C. Snider
Toni R. Southerland
Andrea D. Truitt, J.D.

Assistant Auditor
Stuart Bedenbaugh
Aimee Lingle

Business Manager
Susan S. Long

Information Resource Coordinator
Candice H. Pou

Administrative Assistant
Maribeth Rollings Werts

Receipts and Disbursements of Appropriated Funds Year Ending June 30, 1994		
Appropriation		
Original Appropriations	\$1,026,056.00	
Adjusted Appropriations		<u>\$1,026,866.00</u>
Disbursements		
Personal Services		
Director	\$67,581.84	
Unclassified Positions	624,086.56	
Temporary Positions	4,702.50	
Per Diem	<u>665.00</u>	
Total Personal Services		\$697,035.90
Other Operating		
Contractual	\$30,437.59	
Supplies	10,453.08	
Fixed Charges/Contributions	94,324.68	
Travel	14,473.08	
Equipment	<u>7,783.77</u>	
Total Other Operating		\$157,472.20
Employer Contributions	\$158,866.29	
Total Employer Contributions		\$158,866.29
Total Disbursements		<u>\$1,013,374.39</u>
Carry Forward to FY 94-95		<u>13,491.61</u>
		<u>\$1,026,866.00</u>

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

Adjutant General's Office, Management Review of the South Carolina	January 1990
Aeronautics Commission, Management and Performance Review of the SC	April 1986
Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Management and Performance Review of the SC Commission on	July 1980
Alcoholic Beverage Control Commission, A Review of Hiring and Promotion Practices of the South Carolina	October 1990
Analysis of Problems and Possible Abuses in Implementing Act 208 of 1975	May 1979
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 92-93
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 91-92
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 90-91
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 89-90
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 88-89
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 87-88
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 86-87
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 85-86
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 84-85
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 83-84
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 82-83
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 81-82
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 80-81
Architectural/Engineering Selection Law of 1974, A Study of the SC	April 1980
Arts Commission, Study of the SC	June 1980
Blind, Management and Performance Review of the SC Commission for the	May 1988
Budget Process, A Review of the Legislative Oversight in the State	January 1980
Capitol Complex Phase 2 Construction: Blatt Bldg., Gressette Bldg., and Underground Parking Facility, Review of the	July 1978
Child Development within the Department of Social Services, Review of the Division of	May 1981
College of Charleston, A Management and Performance Review of the	December 1986

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

Consolidated Travel Voucher Processing for Lump Sum Agencies, A Study of the Proposal for	September 1981
Continuum of Care for Emotionally Disturbed Children, A Limited-Scope Review of the South Carolina	May 1990
Corrections' Prison Population Projections (Special Project), A Review of SC Department of	February 1986
Corrections' Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan, Cost Analysis of Phase I of the SC Department of	December 1978
Cost Savings for State Government: A Special Study	January 1992
Dairy Commission, Management and Performance Review of the SC	February 1980
Deaf and Blind, A Review of the South Carolina School for the	December 1992
Direct Line, The (Brochure)	January 1994
Disaster Preparedness Agency, Program & Operational Review of the SC	March 1976
Economic Development Activities, A Review and Assessment of the State's	April 1985
Economic Development Activities, Summary of the State Government	November 1983
Education, A Management and Operational Audit of the SC State Department of	January 1986
Educational Television Network, A Performance and Management Review of the SC	April 1981
Emergency Preparedness Division of the Adjutant General's Office, Review of the	December 1981
Endowments, A Review of the Relationship Between State Agencies and Associated	March 1983
Environmental Quality Control Division of the Department of Health and Environmental Control, Management and Performance Review of the	July 1980
Evaluating State Government (Brochure)	March 1993
Family Planning Program of the SC Department of Health and Environmental Control, Program Review of the	March 1980
Federal and Other Funding on Legislative Oversight, A Study of the Impact of	May 1977
Forestry Commission, A Management and Performance Audit of the SC	June 1987

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

Health and Environmental Control, Management and Performance Review of the SC Department of	March 1986
Health and Human Services Finance Commission, Management Review of the SC	October 1987
Higher Education, Management and Operational Review of the SC Commission on	June 1978
Higher Education Study Committee: Overlapping and Unnecessary Duplication in Higher Education, A Special Report to the	January 1977
Highways and Public Transportation Minority Goals Program, A Limited-Scope Review of the SC Department of	May 1991
Highways and Public Transportation/Summary, Management and Performance Audit of the SC Department of	May 1983
Home Energy Assistance Program, Review of the	February 1982
Housing Finance and Development Authority's Homeownership Program, A Review of the State	March 1991
Human Services, A Plan for a SC Statewide Integrated Information System for	January 1978
Human Services Demonstration Project, A Review of the	August 1984
Leasing Policies, State	March 1989
Legislator's Guide to the SC LAC	March 1981
Long Term Care and Related Services for the Elderly, A Limited-Scope Review of	March 1993
Medicaid Program in SC, Management and Performance Review of the	February 1982
Medicaid Program, Management Audit of SC Department of Social Services	January 1977
Medical University of South Carolina, Management and Performance Review of the	March 1979
Mental Health, A Review of Compliance with Our 1983 Audit of the Department of	March 1990
Mental Health, A Limited-Scope Review of the South Carolina Department of	March 1988
Mental Health, A Management and Performance Review of the Department of	November 1983
Mental Retardation, Management and Performance Review of the SC Department of	May 1985
Motor Vehicle Resources, A Review of State Government	April 1993

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

Nuclear Emergency Preparedness in SC, An Evaluation of	April 1980
Parks, Recreation and Tourism: A Limited Review of Special Funds Management, Department of	May 1994
Patriots Point Development Authority, Audit of	December 1980
Personnel System of SC, Management and Operational Review of the State	May 1978
Ports Authority Upon the Economy of South Carolina, Review of the Economic Benefits of the Wando Terminal as Set Forth in the Report Entitled Impact of the State	November 1978
Ports Authority's Grain Elevator, A Review of the SC State	May 1982
Printing, Duplicating and Photocopying Activities of SC State Agencies, Program and Operational Review of	November 1978
Prison Overcrowding In SC/Summary, Study and Review of	September 1982
Procurements, Statewide Review of Noncompetitive	April 1988
Public Service Commission, Program and Operational Review of the SC	April 1977
Purchasing Systems and Public Purchasing in SC, A Comparison of Cost Effectiveness Procedures Among Centralized and Decentralized	March 1981
Quality Auditing for State Government (Brochure)	July 1994
Reserve Fund Activities of the State General Fund	October 1978
Road-Paving Costs in SC, A Review of	April 1981
Savannah Valley Authority, A Management Review of the	September 1993
Social Services, A Limited-Scope Review of the Department of	May 1991
Social Services, A Management and Performance Review of the SC Department of	February 1985
South Carolina State University, A Management Review of	January 1994
State Workers' Compensation Fund, A Management and Performance Review of the	September 1986
Tax Commission, A Management and Performance Review of the SC	October 1986
Trident Technical College Volume I, Volume II, Summary, A Management and Performance Review of	March 1984
USC and its Foundations and USC Discretionary Spending, A Review of the Relationship Between	July 1989
Vocational and Technical Education, A Review of the SC Council on	May 1992

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

Water Resources Commission, Review of the South Carolina	December 1988
Workers' Compensation System, Program Review of the SC	March 1988
Youth Services, Program and Operational Review of the SC Department of	February 1978
1991 DMVM Review Motor Vehicle Management Act, A Compliance Review of the South Carolina	March 1991
1988 DMVM Review Motor Vehicle Management, Compliance Review of the SC Budget and Control Board Division of	March 1988
1980 DMVM Review Motor Vehicle Management Program, Review of Compliance with the Comprehensive Statewide	April 1980
1979 DMVM Review Motor Vehicle Management Program, Review of Compliance with the Comprehensive Statewide	April 1979
1978 DMVM Review Motor Vehicles, Program and Operational Review of the Acquisition, Use and Management of SC State-Owned	March 1978
1989 EFA Review School District Capital Improvement Needs, A Limited-Scope Review of the State's System for Assessing and Funding	December 1989
1988 EFA Review Education Finance Act, A Review of the	December 1988
1988 EFA Special Review Teacher Salary Revenues, Review of	November 1988
1983 EFA Review Education Finance Act of 1977/Summary, A Review of the	June 1983
1981 EFA Review Education Expenditures for Handicapped Students in Six State Agencies, Cost Analysis of	July 1981

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

1980 EFA Review Education Finance Act of 1977, Study of the Implementation of the	December 1980
1994 Sunset Review of the South Carolina Public Service Commission	June 1994
1994 Sunset Reviews Manufactured Housing Board Real Estate Commission Residential Builders Commission Licensing Board for Contractors Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Environmental Certification Board Real Estate Appraisers Board Board of Long Term Health Care Administrators Board of Registration for Geologists	June 1994
1993 Sunset Reviews Board of Podiatry Examiners Board of Examiners in Optometry Board of Examiners in Opticianry Board of Physical Therapy Examiners Board of Examiners in Psychology Board of Examiners in Speech Pathology and Audiology Board of Occupational Therapy Board of Dentistry	June 1993
1992 Sunset Reviews Board of Pharmacy Board of Medical Examiners Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners	June 1992
1991 Sunset Review of the South Carolina Department of Insurance	June 1991
1991 Sunset Reviews Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetology Board of Accountancy Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators and Community Residential Care Facility Administrators Respiratory Care Committee Board of Registration for Geologists	June 1991

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

<p>1990 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Commissioners of Pilotage for the Port of Charleston Polygraph Examiners Program Private Detective and Private Security Agencies Board of Registration for Foresters SC Coordinating Council for Economic Development Bd. of Examiners for the Licensure of Professional Counselors, Associate Counselors and Marital and Family Therapists SC Auctioneers' Commission State Commission for Hearing Aid Dealers and Fitters</p>	<p>June 1990</p>
<p>1989 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Board of Funeral Service Board of Registration for Landscape Architecture Board of Architectural Examiners Board of Examiners for Registered Environmental Sanitarians Board of Social Work Examiners Cemetery Board Board for Barrier Free Design Athletic Trainers' Advisory Committee</p>	<p>June 1989</p>
<p>1988 Sunset Review of the Public Service Commission</p>	<p>June 1988</p>
<p>1988 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Manufactured Housing Board Real Estate Commission Residential Home Builders Commission Licensing Board for Contractors Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Board of Certification of Environmental Systems Operators</p>	<p>June 1988</p>
<p>1987 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Podiatry Examiners Board of Examiners in Optometry Board of Examiners in Opticianry Board of Physical Therapy Examiners Board of Examiners in Psychology Board of Examiners in Speech Pathology and Audiology Board of Occupational Therapy</p>	<p>June 1987</p>
<p>1987 Sunset Review of the Board of Dentistry</p>	<p>September 1987</p>

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

<p>1986 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Pharmacy Board of Medical Examiners Board of Dentistry Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners Joint Liaison Committee on Small Business</p>	<p>June 1986</p>
<p>1985 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Department of Insurance Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetology Board of Accountancy Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators</p>	<p>September 1985</p>
<p>1984 Sunset Review of the Charleston Commission of Pilotage</p>	<p>May 1985</p>
<p>1984 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Masseurs or Masseuses Program Polygraph Examiners Program Private Detectives and Private Security Agency Program Certification Program for Public Librarians Board of Registration for Foresters</p>	<p>August 1984</p>
<p>1983 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Funeral Service Board of Examiners for Registered Sanitarians Board of Social Worker Registration Dairy Commission State Cemetery Board Building Code Council Board for Barrier Free Design</p>	<p>August 1983</p>
<p>1983 Sunset Review of the Board of Registration for Landscape Architecture</p>	<p>January 1984</p>
<p>1983 Sunset Review of the Board of Architectural Examiners</p>	<p>January 1984</p>
<p>1982 Sunset Review of the Public Service Commission</p>	<p>June 1982</p>

**LAC Publication List
June 1994**

<p>1982 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Manufactured Housing Board Real Estate Commission Residential Home Builders Commission Licensing Board for Contractors Board of Engineering Examiners Board of Certification of Environmental Systems Operators</p>	<p>September 1982</p>
<p>1981 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Podiatry Examiners Board of Examiners in Optometry Board of Examination and Registration of Physical Therapists Board of Examiners in Psychology Board of Examiners in Speech Pathology and Audiology Board of Occupational Therapy Board of Examiners in Opticianry</p>	<p>July 1981</p>
<p>1980 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Pharmaceutical Examiners Board of Medical Examiners Board of Dentistry Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners</p>	<p>July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980</p>
<p>1979 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Insurance Commission/Department of Insurance Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetic Art Examiners Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators Board of Accountancy Landscape Architects Board of Registration Board of Architectural Examiners</p>	<p>June 1979 August 1979 August 1979 June 1979 July 1979 July 1979 July 1979</p>

Total Number of Documents Printed	<u>255</u>
Cost Per Unit	\$ <u>1.24</u>
Printing Cost - S.C. State Budget & Control Board (up to 255 copies)	\$ <u>317.18</u>
Printing Cost - Individual Agency (requesting over 255 copies and/or halftones)	\$ <u>—</u>
Total Printing Cost	\$ <u>317.18</u>