

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60



Fiscal Year 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan

FORM A – SUMMARY

RECURRING FUNDS (FORM B DECISION PACKAGES)	My agency is submitting the following recurring decision packages (Form B): I. Administration- Other Operating Expenses Increase : Decision Package # 1642 II. Offices of Circuit Solicitor-Special Items- Violent Crime Prosecution : Decision Package #1501 II. Offices of Circuit Solicitor-Special Items- Victim/Witness Assistance : Decision Package #1432
	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations. <input type="checkbox"/> Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.

CAPITAL & NON-RECURRING FUNDS (FORM C DECISION PACKAGES)	My agency is submitting the following one-time decision packages (Form C):
	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"): <input type="checkbox"/> Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.

PROVISOS	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos. <input type="checkbox"/> Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references). <input type="checkbox"/> Not requesting any proviso changes.
-----------------	---

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's budget process.

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	David M. Ross	803-343-0765	dross@cpc.sc.gov
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Tina Thompson	803-343-0765	tthompson@cpc.sc.gov

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN/DATE):	
AGENCY DIRECTOR (TYPE/PRINT NAME):	David M. Ross

This form must be signed by the department head – not a delegate.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1642
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Other Operating Expenses Increase for DSIT Support (I. Administration-Other Operating Expenses)
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$20,500.00
---------------	--------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	N/A
---------------------------	-----

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination. This is to pay for Division of State Information Technology IT support.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	None
-----------------------------	------

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The South Carolina Commission on Prosecution (SCCPC) contracted with the Division of State Information Technology (DSIT) to provide IT support beginning in June of 2013. This was necessary to ensure that SCCPC information was being properly safeguarded and so that SCCPC would have IT support available at all times.</p> <p>DSIT is providing network connection services, and desktop support that includes file services, print services, server services, email services, antivirus and anti-spam services. DSIT also provides remote or on-site technical support. Additionally, the SCCPC is now able to store data at an offsite server thereby keeping the SCCPC from losing all of its data should some disaster occur at the office.</p> <p>This is a new expense that is being incurred and has increased the budgeted amount that is needed for the SCCPC office expenses.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>The increase is based upon the amount being billed by DSIT.</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>No</p> <p>If the request is not honored then there will be insufficient funds available in Other Operating Expenses for all of the costs incurred by SCCPC.</p>
----------------------	--

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If funds were not available then the SCCPC would use a fund balance but this is an ongoing expense that is vitally important in making sure the SCCPC has the proper IT support.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>As stated, this will be an ongoing expense and is intended to keep the information that the SCCPC generates safeguarded as well as to provide timely IT support so that the SCCPC can operate in an efficient manner.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>A contract has been and will continue to be entered with DSIT to provide network connections and IT support.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1501
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Violent Crime Prosecution (II. Office of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items)
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$6,400,000
---------------	--------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	N/A
---------------------------	-----

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The 16 Solicitors' Offices. The first \$1,600,000 would be distributed pro rata, the next \$4,800,000 would be distributed per capita based upon the current census.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Request can only be met by state funding.
-----------------------------	---

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>Violent Crime Prosecution - The SCCPC is seeking \$6.4 million dollars (\$6,400,000) for violent crime prosecution. This funding is needed to hire additional assistant solicitors, investigators and support staff in order to more effectively prosecute violent crimes.</p> <p>South Carolina leads the nation in the number of violent crimes committed on a per-capita basis and there is insufficient funding to deal with the number of violent crime cases that are handled by the 16 Solicitors' Offices. This lack of funding causes delays in prosecution, increased backlogs, victims having wait for extended periods of time their case to be heard, an increase in the number of inmates in the local jail, as well as violent offenders being released on bond and thereby committing more crimes while they await trial.</p> <p>There are an insufficient number of prosecutors, investigators and support staff to handle the workload that the public expects to be done in timely manner. For example, on August 29, 2013, there were pending in South Carolina: 788 Murder cases; 2272 Armed Robbery cases; 2643 Burglary 1st Degree cases; 588 Burglary 2nd Degree-Violent cases; and 1667 Criminal Sexual Conduct 1st and 2nd Degree cases. These types of crimes require more personnel and more resources to prosecute than non-violent crimes do. The 16 elected Solicitors are responsible for keeping the citizens of South Carolina safe and are</p>
----------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

committed to doing so. This funding will make a tremendous difference and the Solicitors cannot think of any type of funding that will provide “more bang for the buck.”

Additionally, the General Assembly approved 9 new positions for judges in 2013. Three of those were Circuit Court judges which will result in 60 additional weeks of General Sessions Court in 2014. There will need to be an adequate number of prosecutors in place in order to keep the docket running in an efficient and effective manner.

According to the S.C. Judicial Department, there were a total of 114,663 new cases added in FY2012/13. The 16 Solicitors’ Offices disposed of a total of 118,925 cases in FY2012/13, and there were 107,111 cases pending on June 30, 2013 statewide.

In order to give some Circuits that are grossly underfunded at the county level some additional relief, the SCCPC respectfully requests (and the 16 elected Solicitors agree) that the first \$1.6 million dollars (\$1,600,000) be distributed on a pro-rata basis at \$100,000 per Circuit with the next \$4.8 million dollars (\$4,800,000) distributed on a per-capita basis to each Circuit based on the current census.

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

METHOD OF CALCULATION

This request is based upon approximately how many prosecutors, and/or investigators, and/or support staff could be added in each Circuit with this funding. The amount of this request is extremely conservative given the number of violent crimes that are currently pending in South Carolina.

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>If this request is not honored then any reduction in the number of pending violent crimes as well any reduction in the length of time it takes to prosecute violent crime will be incredibly difficult to achieve.</p>
----------------------	---

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	N/A
-----------------------	-----

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>This funding is intended to hire additional assistant solicitors, investigators and support staff in order to more effectively prosecute violent crimes. This will result in a reduction in the length of time needed to prosecute cases, decreased backlogs, a decreased time victims will have to wait for their case to be heard, an decrease in the number of inmates in the local jail, as well as a decrease in the number of violent offenders being released on bond and thereby a reduction in the number of crimes being committed by defendants out on bond.</p> <p>This is an ongoing obligation.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

PROGRAM EVALUATION	An increase in the number of violent crimes that are disposed of and a reduction in the time between arrest and disposition.
-------------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1432
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Victim/Witness Assistance (II. Office of Circuit Solicitors-Special Items)
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$2,260,000
---------------	--------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	S.C. Constitution, Article I, Section 24, S.C. Code of Laws, Section 16-3-1505 et. seq. Proviso 60.6 No
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The 16 Circuit Solicitors' Offices. Funding would be distributed on a per capita basis based upon the current census.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Request can only be met by state funding.
-----------------------------	---

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>Victim/Witness Assistance – The SCCPC is seeking \$2.26 million dollars to restore funding for Victim/Witness Assistance. The 16 Solicitors’ Offices are statutorily and constitutionally mandated to provide victim/witness assistance throughout the prosecutorial process. (S.C. Constitution, Article I, Section 24 and S.C. Code of Laws, Section 16-3-1505 et. seq.)</p> <p>In FY2005/06 through FY2008/09, the General Assembly provided dedicated funding for Solicitors’ Victim/Witness Assistance. This funding reached a high of \$2.26 million dollars (\$2,260,000) in FY2007/08, but then budget cuts reduced the funding to \$924,300 in FY2008/09, \$13,862 in FY2009/10, and completely eliminated in FY2010/11. The General Assembly left Proviso 60.6 in the budget in anticipation of funding being restored.</p> <p>The Solicitors’ Victim/Witness Assistance services include, but are not limited to, notification of case proceedings, assistance in completion of Victim Impact Statements and Victim Compensation Applications, restitution recovery, assistance during trials or guilty pleas, pre-trial interviews, courtroom orientation, referrals to service providers, employer and/or creditor intervention, transportation to and from court and post-trial/plea assistance. The Solicitors’ Victim Assistance Programs also collaborate with other advocacy, criminal justice and resource agencies to promote assistance and education in the areas of Victim Services.</p>
----------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

The SCCPC would respectfully requests that this funding be distributed on a per-capita basis to each Circuit based on the current census.

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

METHOD OF CALCULATION

Request is based upon the previous amount that was funded for Victim/Witness Assistance in FY2007/08.

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT

If this request is not honored then the Solicitors' Victim/Witness Assistance programs will continue to be underfunded.

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	N/A
-----------------------	-----

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

INTENDED IMPACT	This request is made so that the constitutional and statutory mandates for Solicitors to provide Victim/Witness assistance can be carried out in an effective manner. This is an ongoing obligation.
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	The SCCPC already reports Victim/Witness Assistance statistics and program information pursuant to Proviso 60.8 and will continue to do so. This proviso was previously in the SOVA budget and was moved to the SCCPC budget in FY2012/13.
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

NUMBER	60.11
---------------	--------------

Cite the proviso according to the State Budget Division’s renumbered list for FY 2014-15 (or mark “NEW”).

TITLE	Violent Crime Prosecution
--------------	----------------------------------

Provide the title from the FY 2013-14 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.

BUDGET PROGRAM	II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors – Special Items
-----------------------	--

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

DECISION PACKAGE	1501
-------------------------	------

Is this request associated with a decision package you have submitted for FY 2014-15? If so, cite it here.

REQUESTED ACTION	Add
-------------------------	------------

Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.

OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED	None
--------------------------------	------

Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?

SUMMARY	<p>This proviso would set out the distribution for Violent Crime Prosecution funding.</p> <p>The first \$1,600,000 would be distributed on a pro rata basis. The next \$4,800,000 would be distributed on a per capita basis based upon the current official census.</p>
----------------	--

Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

EXPLANATION	<p>This proviso would set out the distribution for Violent Crime Prosecution funding.</p>
--------------------	---

Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.

FISCAL IMPACT	<p>None</p>
----------------------	-------------

Provide estimates of any fiscal impacts associated with this proviso, whether for state, federal, or other funds. Explain the method of calculation.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

60.11. (PCC: Violent Crime Prosecution) The amount appropriated and authorized in this section for Violent Crime Prosecution shall be apportioned among the circuits. The first \$1,600,000 shall be distributed on a pro rata basis. The next \$4,800,000 shall be distributed on a per capita basis based upon the current official census. Payment shall be made as soon after the beginning of each quarter as practical.

**PROPOSED
PROVISO TEXT**

Paste FY 2013-14 text above, then bold and underline insertions and strikethrough deletions. For new proviso requests, enter requested text above.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

NUMBER	60.8
---------------	-------------

Cite the proviso according to the State Budget Division’s renumbered list for FY 2014-15 (or mark “NEW”).

TITLE	60.8 Victim Assistance Program
--------------	---------------------------------------

Provide the title from the FY 2013-14 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.

BUDGET PROGRAM	II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors – Special Items
-----------------------	--

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

DECISION PACKAGE	No
-------------------------	----

Is this request associated with a decision package you have submitted for FY 2014-15? If so, cite it here.

REQUESTED ACTION	Delete
-------------------------	---------------

Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.

OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED	None
--------------------------------	------

Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?

SUMMARY	<p>Proviso 60.8 provides for the intent of the General Assembly for this funding, prohibits supplanting, provides for reduction in state funding if there is a reduction in county funding and provides for reporting requirements.</p>
----------------	---

Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

EXPLANATION	<p>Requesting to delete Proviso 60.8.</p> <p>Taking out confusing language contained in 60.8 and adding the remaining language of 60.8 to Proviso 60.9.</p>
--------------------	---

Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.

FISCAL IMPACT	<p>None</p>
----------------------	-------------

Provide estimates of any fiscal impacts associated with this proviso, whether for state, federal, or other funds. Explain the method of calculation.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

~~— 60.8. — (PCC: Victim Assistance Programs) It is the intent of the General Assembly that the amounts appropriated in this section for victim assistance programs in solicitors' offices shall be in addition to any amounts presently being provided by the county for these services and may not be used to supplant funding already allocated for such services. Any reduction by any county in funding for victim assistance programs in solicitors' offices shall result in a corresponding decrease of state funds provided to the solicitors' office in that county for victim assistance services. Each solicitor's office shall submit an annual financial and programmatic report which describes the use of these funds. The report shall be submitted to the Governor, the Attorney General, the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, and the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee on October first, for the preceding fiscal year.~~

**PROPOSED
PROVISO TEXT**

Paste FY 2013-14 text above, then bold and underline insertions and strikethrough deletions. For new proviso requests, enter requested text above.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

NUMBER	60.9 <i>Cite the proviso according to the State Budget Division’s renumbered list for FY 2014-15 (or mark “NEW”).</i>
TITLE	60.9 Establish Victim/Witness Program <i>Provide the title from the FY 2013-14 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>
BUDGET PROGRAM	II. Offices of Circuit Solicitors – Special Items <i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>
DECISION PACKAGE	No <i>Is this request associated with a decision package you have submitted for FY 2014-15? If so, cite it here.</i>
REQUESTED ACTION	Amend <i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>
OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED	None <i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>
SUMMARY	Requires funds for this program be distributed pro rata. Requires these funds be used to establish Victim/Witness programs in the 16 Solicitors’ Offices and to provide certain designated services.

Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

EXPLANATION	<p>Requesting to delete Proviso 60.8.</p> <p>Requesting that confusing language contained in 60.8 be taken out and that remaining language of 60.8 be added to Proviso 60.9.</p>
--------------------	--

Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.

FISCAL IMPACT	<p>None</p>
----------------------	-------------

Provide estimates of any fiscal impacts associated with this proviso, whether for state, federal, or other funds. Explain the method of calculation.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Commission on Prosecution Coordination		
AGENCY CODE:	E-21	SECTION:	60

PROPOSED
PROVISO TEXT

60.9. (PCC: Establish Victim/Witness Program) The funds appropriated in this section for Victim/Witness Program must be equally divided among the judicial circuits, less any adjustments made for budget reductions. The funds for each circuit must be distributed to the solicitor's office of that circuit and only used by the solicitor for the purpose of establishing a Victim/Witness Program in the circuit which shall provide, but not be limited to, the following services:

- (1) Make available to victims/witnesses information concerning their cases from filing in general sessions court through disposition.
- (2) Keep the victim/witness informed of his rights and support his right to protection from intimidation.
- (3) Inform victims/witnesses of and make appropriate referrals to available services such as medical, social, counseling, and victims' compensation services.
- (4) Assist in the preparation of victims/witnesses for court.
- (5) Provide assistance and support to the families or survivors of victims where appropriate.
- (6) Provide any other necessary support services to victims/witnesses such as contact with employers or creditors.
- (7) Promote public awareness of the program and services available for crime victims.

The funds may not be used for other victim-related services until the above functions are provided in an adequate manner.

~~60.8.—(PCC: Victim Assistance Programs)—~~**It is the intent of the General Assembly that the amounts appropriated in this section for victim assistance programs in solicitors' offices shall be in addition to any amounts presently being provided by the county for these services and may not be used to supplant funding already allocated for such services.**~~—Any reduction by any county in funding for victim assistance programs in solicitors' offices shall result in a corresponding decrease of state funds provided to the solicitors' office in that county for victim assistance services.—~~**Each solicitor's office shall submit an annual financial and programmatic report which describes the use of these funds. The report shall be submitted to the Governor, the Attorney General, the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, and the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee on October first, for the preceding fiscal year.**

Paste FY 2013-14 text above, then bold and underline insertions and strikethrough deletions. For new proviso requests, enter requested text above.