

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62



Fiscal Year 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan

FORM A – SUMMARY

RECURRING FUNDS (FORM B DECISION PACKAGES)	My agency is submitting the following recurring decision packages (Form B): 925, 928, 941, 944, 947, 958, 971, 974, 1016, 1019, 1022, 1025, 1028, 1031, 1034, 1037, 1040, 1043	
	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.

CAPITAL & NON-RECURRING FUNDS (FORM C DECISION PACKAGES)	My agency is submitting the following one-time decision packages (Form C): 584, 925, 928, 941, 944, 947, 958, 1016, 1019, 1022, 1028, 1034, 1040 1826, 1829, 1832, 1835, 1838, 1841, 1844, 1847, 1850, 1853, 1856, 1860	
	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.

mf.
10/4/13

PROVISOS	For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting any proviso changes.

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's budget process.

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	Don Royal	803-896-4647	droyal@sled.sc.gov
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Michele Featherstone	803-896-7521	mfeatherstone@sled.sc.gov

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN/DATE):	
AGENCY DIRECTOR (TYPE/PRINT NAME):	Chief Mark A. Keel

This form must be signed by the department head – not a delegate.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	925
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Administrative Personnel
--------------	---------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$116,450
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10
---------------------------	-----------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested for a new Administrative Specialist II in Human Resources, and an Information Resource Consultant II for the IT department within the agency.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1826
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>With the advent of the Affordable Care Act and the projected increase in benefits workload, the plan is to reassign an existing employee into the Benefits Unit and hire an Administrative Specialist II to fill the anticipated increased workload.</p> <p>The Data Center plans to re-establish a dedicated Information Resource Consultant II to maintain the Computer Crimes network and computer imaging for the unit.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Administrative Specialist II Salary = \$30,000 Information Resource Consultant II Salary = \$55,000 Fringe at 37% = \$31,450</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available in order to meet this need, the agency must resort to utilizing fund balances to hire a temporary Administrative Specialist II to assist with the upcoming increase in workload due to the Affordable Care Act. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request this position in future years, to ensure recurring funds are eventually appropriated to meet the needs long term.</p> <p>If funds are not available for the Data Center, the Computer Crimes Unit will be unable to have a dedicated support staff.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>If funded, the HR position will allow the agency to effectively carry-out the immediate and future demands from the Affordable Care Act. The IT position will allow the agency to effectively support and maintain the Computer Crimes network.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>The performance measure for the HR position will be the success of a smooth transition and maintenance of the benefits workload. The IT position will allow the current Computer Crimes agents the ability to work additional cases while not having to spend time on support and maintenance of the network.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	928
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Alcohol Enforcement Personnel
--------------	--------------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$2,307,780
---------------	--------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-15(A)(7) Section 23-3-15(C) Section 23-3-160
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to staff 30 additional Alcohol Enforcement agents within the agency.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1829
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The Alcohol Enforcement Unit handles inspections for 16,000 permits for alcohol licensed locations, general enforcement for individuals drinking alcohol under the age of 21, investigations that involve vehicle accidents and other incidents that involve alcohol use by individuals under the age of 21. Additionally, the unit investigates video gaming, and enforces wine, beer and liquor tastings along with brewery samplings. Prior to 2008 there were approximately 45 SLED alcohol agents. In July 2011 there were only 2. Currently, the agency has 13 agents (four were appropriated last fiscal year) and 1 supervisor that handle the entire state.</p> <p>Thirty (30) additional Alcohol Enforcement agents are requested in order to increase enforcement efforts over 46 counties throughout the state, and effectively handle current demands.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Law Enforcement Officer II salary per agent at \$45,000 = \$1,350,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$499,500 Recurring Operating per Agent at \$11,446 = \$343,380 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$114,900</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the unit is fully staffed in order to meet the increasing alcohol enforcement demands.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	The additional agents would allow the unit to effectively provide increased alcohol enforcement throughout the state.
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	Performance measures include the increased number of alcohol-related cases.
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	941
-------------------------	-----

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Child Fatality Personnel
--------------	--------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$307,704
---------------	-----------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 63-11-1920
---------------------------	--------------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to staff four (4) additional Child Fatality agents within the agency.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1832
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	The agency requests four (4) Child Fatality agents, two in each Special Victims Unit regions, in order to reduce the case backlog.
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Four (4) Law Enforcement Officer II per agent at \$45,000 = \$180,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$66,600 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$15,320 Recurring Operating per agent at \$11,446 = \$45,784</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the unit is fully staffed in order to decrease the Child Fatality case backlog.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	The additional agents would allow the unit to effectively reduce the case backlog and improve future case turnaround.
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	Performance measures include the decrease in the case backlog and future case turnaround time.
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	944
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	CJIS/Fusion Personnel
--------------	------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$207,761
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10(A)(4) Section 23-3-110
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested to staff the following positions within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) National Crime Information Center (NCIC)/SC Incident Based Reporting System (SCIBRS) agent • One (1) Fingerprint Auditor for the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) • One (1) Intelligence Analyst within the Fusion Center • One (1) Administrative Specialist II within the Fusion Center
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1835
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) Agent is required to assist with the NCIC and SCIBRS training. This position will allow the agency to provide resources to local law enforcement within each region of the state. • One (1) Fingerprint Auditor is requested in the AFIS department for data verification and livescan transactions. • One (1) Intelligence Analyst will support the mission of the Fusion Center as an all-crimes center. The analyst will assist other intelligence analysts with the development of intelligence products, research, and analysis of information received from local, state, federal, and private sector partners. • One (1) Administrative Specialist II is requested to provide support to the Fusion Center.
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>One (1) Law Enforcement Officer II agent = \$45,000 One (1) Fingerprint Examiner = \$30,000 One (1) Statistical and Research Analyst II = \$35,500 One (1) Administrative Specialist II = \$30,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$51,985 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$3,830 Recurring Operating per agent at \$11,446 = \$11,446</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional personnel. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the units are fully staffed in order to support the agency's mission.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	The additional personnel would allow the CJIS unit and the Fusion Center to effectively support local law enforcement throughout the state.
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	Performance measures include the ability to better support local law enforcement through intelligence gathering and identity data verifications.
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	947
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Computer Crimes Personnel
--------------	----------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$425,730
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Title 16 Chapter 16
---------------------------	---------------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested to staff the following Computer Crimes positions within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) Agent in Digital Forensic Examinations • Two (2) Agents in Cybercrime and Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) • Two (2) Backfill Agents from Lieutenant promotions • One (1) Administrative Specialist II
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1838
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The growing workload for the Computer Crimes unit is overseen by one Captain responsible for 16 positions and 5 sub-units. This request will backfill two Agents as a result of promotions of two Lieutenants, and make a current temporary administrative support position a permanent FTE in order to reduce the administrative backlog.</p> <p>One agent is requested to help reduce the digital forensic examination case backlog. Additionally, two agents are requested to provide training and investigate Cybercrime and ICAC cases. This service was once provided by the unit, but after employees retired the positions were never replaced.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Five (5) Law Enforcement Officer II agents at \$45,000 = \$225,000 One (1) Administrative Specialist II = \$30,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$94,350 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$19,150 Recurring Operating for 5 agents at \$11,446 = \$57,230</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the unit is fully staffed in order to decrease the administrative and case backlog.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional agents would allow the unit to effectively reduce the administrative and case backlog within the Computer Crimes unit. The additional two (2) agents in Cybercrime and ICAC will allow the unit to provide assistance to local law enforcement.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the reduction of administrative and case backlog, as well as the successful re-establishment of the Cybercrime and ICAC unit.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	958
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Counter-Terrorism Personnel
--------------	------------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$473,088
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-15(A)(1) Section 23-3-15(A)(8)
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to staff the following Counter-Terrorism positions within the agency: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two (2) Arson Agents • Two (2) Bomb Squad Agents • One (1) Agent in Protective Services/Emergency Management • One (1) Agent for Active Shooter Training
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1841
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The growing workload for the Arson and Bomb units are overseen by one Captain currently. This request will provide the opportunity to split the two units, by backfilling an agent position as a result of promoting a Captain over each to oversee operations. In order to increase coverage across the state and decrease response time, the agency requests an additional Arson agent and two (2) new Bomb agents.</p> <p>In order to reduce the administrative backlog and to increase productivity within the Protective Services/Emergency Management unit, the agency requests a backfill agent as a result of promoting a Lieutenant to assist the Captain.</p> <p>The agency’s experienced Active Shooter Trainer is currently grant funded by Homeland Security funds; however, the agency requests recurring state funds in order to sustain this position long term as federal funds decrease.</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Two (2) Law Enforcement Officer II in Arson = \$90,000 Two (2) Bomb agents at \$45,000 = \$90,000 One (1) Law Enforcement Officer II in Prot. Svcs. = \$45,000 One (1) Law Enforcement Officer III in Training = \$52,530 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$102,686 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$22,980 Recurring Operating at \$11,750 for Arson/Bomb and \$11,446 for others= \$69,892</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the unit is fully staffed in order to improve the arson/bomb response capabilities and administrative backlog.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional agents would allow the unit to effectively improve Arson/Bomb response capabilities throughout the state. The Active Shooter training position is responsible for providing statewide training.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the improved Arson/Bomb response capabilities, decrease in the administrative backlog within Protective Services, and the sustainment of statewide Active Shooter training.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	971
-------------------------	-----

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	DNA Arrestee Sample Kits
--------------	--------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$90,000
---------------	----------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Article 9 Section 23-3-600 through 23-3-700
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested for the Forensic Laboratory to cover the cost of the collection kits for all arrestee samples. These kits were competitively bid and will be procured and sent to all booking facilities throughout the state (i.e. local jails, detention centers, etc.).
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since the cost of the collection kits are recurring in nature, fund balances would not be ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	The cost of the collection kits for arrestee samples is considerably more than originally estimated. The kits were recently awarded to a vendor via a competitive bidding process. The lowest quote was approximately \$8.00 per kit. It is estimated that approximately 20,000 samples will be collected annually. The original amount funded in FY13 for the DNA All Arrestee Samples, was an initial estimate of \$3.50 per sample. This request is the anticipated shortage of what the kits truly cost.
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Estimating 20,000 samples at \$8.00 per kit (\$160k) less the amount already funded at \$3.50 (\$70k) = \$90,000</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>N/A</p>
----------------------	------------

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will utilize current fund balances in order to proceed with the DNA All Arrestee collections. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request this shortage in future years until it is funded on a recurring basis.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The recurring funds will securely provide the resources to the Forensics Laboratory to ensure the DNA All Arrestee sample kits are procured on behalf of local law enforcement. These kits will be provided to local county jails, detention centers, etc.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the successful procurement of all DNA sample kits needed for local law enforcement.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	974
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Drug Lab Clean Up Pass Through
--------------	---------------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$500,000
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	SECTION 23-3-1200 Part IA Section 62 II.A. Meth Lab Clean Up
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Drug Lab Clean Up funds are passed through SLED to contractors who clean up drug labs throughout the state reported by local law enforcement agencies.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>These funds are passed through the agency in order to assist drug enforcement efforts by local law enforcement. In order to avoid deterring these efforts, funds must be available to cover the increasing demand. As these efforts are recurring in nature, fund balances would not be ideal long term. The last resort alternative is to pass these additional costs to the local Sheriffs' Offices in the amount that exceeds SLED's funding.</p>
-----------------------------	---

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>Recurring Drug Lab Cleanup funds were appropriated to the agency in the amount of \$1M last budget year. During FY13, the agency spent a total of \$1.2M, of which approximately \$43K was paid with agency fund balances. Cleanup efforts are estimated to reach near \$1.25M in FY14, and even higher at \$1.5M in FY15. The agency plans to absorb any estimated overage with fund balances again during FY14; however, in order to effectively sustain these drug enforcement efforts by local law enforcement, the agency requests an increase in the recurring appropriations.</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Projected increase in service requests = \$500,000</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>N/A</p>
----------------------	------------

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED must pass the additional expenditures to the local Sheriffs' Offices. SLED fund balances cannot be a long term solution to these drug enforcement efforts.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The recurring funds will securely provide the resources to the agency in order to support drug enforcement efforts made by local law enforcement.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the number of cleanup sites and dollars spent to contractors during a fiscal year.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1016
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Forensics Personnel
--------------	----------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$555,580
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10(A)(2) Article 9 Section 23-3-600
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to staff the following Forensics Laboratory positions within the agency: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Two (2) Administrative Assistants • Five (5) Forensic Technician II • Two (2) Criminalist II • One (1) Senior Criminalist
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1844
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) Administrative Assistant within the Forensics Laboratory to fund a current temporary as a FTE position. The Laboratory must man a receptionist area from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. This position is responsible for taking evidence, liaise with local law enforcement agencies, serve as a facility coordinator (assign escorted/unescorted badges, etc.), and provide support to the Polygraph Department. A full time FTE will no longer require Forensic Technicians to be pulled from their assigned duties to assist with receptionist assistance. • One (1) Administrative Assistant within the DNA Casework and DNA Database department to assist with the increasing demands in that unit. The two departments consist of 27 employees who are in constant contact with evidence submitters. The administrative support will increase productivity of the DNA Analysts. • Two (2) Forensic Technicians for the DNA Database Department to reduce the processing time of arrestee samples from the date of receipt to the date the profile is entered into the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS). The technicians are responsible for front end processing of arrestee samples, identifying and addressing problem samples, performing expungements, and confirming duplicates, in addition to Quality Assurance functions. • One (1) Forensic Technician for the DNA Casework Department to be responsible for all Quality Control (routine and post-maintenance/repair) of DNA instruments and reagents; inventory and intake; and assistance with casework. • One (1) Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) Forensic Technician to program and troubleshoot the LIMS, provide custom reports, and assist customers and lab personnel with LIMS and iLab related issues. The LIMS has become the most critical component of the laboratory with only one trained
----------------	--

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

	<p>technical staff person. This position would provide backup and assistance to that position.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) Forensic Technician in Toxicology to assist with the department’s goal of obtaining a 30 day turnaround time for Toxicology cases. Technicians perform initial evidence assessment and documentation followed by volatiles analysis and drug screens. The current number of technicians assigned to the unit is inadequate to process the amount of evidence. • One (1) Criminalist II in the Drug Analysis Department to assist with the increase caseload and to help reduce the backlog. • One (1) Criminalist II in the Firearms Department to train under current part time retired staff before the knowledge is lost within the unit. • One (1) Senior Criminalist position requested in Crime Scene. The growing workload for the Crime Scene and Latent Print units are overseen by one Lieutenant currently. A Senior Criminalist position will provide the opportunity to split the two units, by having a Lieutenant over each to effectively oversee operations in each area.
--	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Two (2) Administrative Assistants at \$30,000 = \$60,000 Three (3) Forensic Technician II at \$30,000 = \$90,000 Two (2) Forensic Technician II at \$35,000 = \$70,000 Two (2) Criminalist II at \$45,000 = \$90,000 One (1) Senior Criminalist = \$70,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$140,600 Recurring Operating per Criminalist II at \$11,446 = \$22,892 Recurring Operating per Senior Criminalist in Crime Scene = \$12,088</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional personnel. Fund balances would continue to cover the temporary Administrative Assistant position within the Laboratory. However, ultimately the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the units are fully staffed in order to support the agency's mission.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional personnel would allow the Forensic Laboratory to more effectively reduce the case backlog and fill/train key positions.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the reduction in case backlog, effective training of new staff in key positions, and a decrease in case turnaround time.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1019
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Investigative Personnel
--------------	--------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$846,186
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-15(A)(1)
---------------------------	-----------------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested to staff the following Investigative Services positions within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight (8) Regional Investigative Agents • One (1) Insurance Fraud Agent • Two (2) Public Corruption Agents
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1847
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight (8) Regional Agents requested to allow strategic placement of two (2) agents per region in order to better address the needs of local law enforcement partners, decrease response time to emergency calls, and accommodate additional requests for investigative assistance. • One (1) Insurance Fraud Agent requested along the SC coast to help with the number of insurance fraud cases. The current agents in this unit are spending numerous hours driving to coastal areas to conduct investigations. This position would allow for strategic placement and reduce travel costs. • Two (2) Public Corruption Agents requested to work cases with federal partners.
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Eleven (11) Law Enforcement Officer II salary per agent at \$45,000 = \$495,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$183,150 Recurring Operating per Agent at \$11,446 = \$125,906 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$42,130</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the unit is fully staffed in order to meet the increasing investigative demands.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional agents would allow the unit to strategically place agents within the regions of the state as needed. These agents would accommodate additional requests for investigative services and reduce the case turnaround time.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the increased number of investigative cases, and the reduction of the case backlog.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1022
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Law Enforcement Operating
--------------	----------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$436,000
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10
---------------------------	-----------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to provide the agency with miscellaneous equipment upgrades each year, which will eventually be paid to vendors throughout the state via a competitive bid process.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1850
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$80,000 – Annual UH-1H (SLED 600) Helicopter inspection required to operate the aircraft. The agency utilized confiscated cash in prior years to cover this recurring expenditure; however, the agency requests recurring dollars in order to secure funding for the long term. Confiscated cash is a fluctuating fund source and should not be utilized for recurring purchases. • \$321,000 – 50 VHF Mobile Radio replacements each year. The agency plans to implement an annual ¼ radio equipment replacement each year. • \$35,000 – Shortage of recurring funds for annual agent uniform replacement. Upon receipt of final bids on the agency’s uniforms, this is the amount that exceeds what was provided to the agency in FY13.
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average annual Helicopter inspection of \$80,000 • Per VHF Mobile Radio cost of approximately \$6,420/ea. times 50 = \$321,000 • Total uniform replacement cost of \$166,600 less amount funded in FY13 of \$131,600 = \$35,000
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>The priority of this request is as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annual Helicopter Inspection 2. 50 VHF Mobile Radio Replacement (1/4 of equipment) 3. Shortage for Annual Uniform Replacement <p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED must resort to utilizing current fund balances. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these items in future years, as they are recurring in nature and cannot be sustained by fund balances for the long term.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>State funds provided for the agency's recurring law enforcement operating needs will ensure the stability of the agency's aircraft and the mobile radio equipment.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include implementation of a mobile replacement schedule of one-fourth (1/4) of the inventory and the successful completion of the helicopter inspection each year.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1025
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Law Enforcement Rank Change
--------------	------------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$611,597
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Class I law enforcement personnel who are eligible for a rank change during FY 2015.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	The ability for the agency to promote career development is extremely vital in order to retain qualified, experienced, and specialized law enforcement officers. This request is to maintain the agency's internal rank structure by funding increases for all eligible Class I Agents that are due for a rank change during FY 2015.
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Three Year Rank Change to Special Agent II Based on 10%</p> <p>Six Year Rank Change to Special Agent III Based on 15%</p> <p>10 Year Rank Change to Senior Special Agent Based on 15%</p> <p>Total number of agents eligible: 75 agents; approximately 15% of total FTE workforce</p> <p>Total Estimated Personal Services = \$501,309</p> <p>Total Estimated Fringe at 22% = \$110,288</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>This decision package is the highest in priority for the agency. The biggest challenge for SLED is retaining experienced and specialty skilled Agents. This funding will support and maintain the agency's internal rank structure. If new funds are not available, the agency risks losing experienced Agents.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	Funding for the internal rank structure will ensure the agency’s commitment to retain the state’s most qualified and experienced law enforcement officers.
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	Performance measures include a decrease in the employee turnover rate.
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1028
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Narcotics Personnel
--------------	----------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$348,804
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10(A)(3)
---------------------------	-----------------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested to staff the following Narcotics agents within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One (1) Interdiction Agent • One (1) Diversion Agent • Two (2) Agents to work street crimes • One (1) Administrative Specialist II
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1853
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The additional agents will support the agency’s Key Strategic Goals of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Expanding the Interdiction Program by increasing the number of agents in the Upstate region, 2. Expanding the Narcotics Diversion Unit by increasing the number of agents to work in conjunction with the Federal Task Force partners on prescription drug cases, and 3. Implementing a Street Vice Unit to enforce narcotic and alcohol violations in areas of extreme violent activity. <p>The Narcotics Unit also requests an Administrative Specialist II position to assist with the backlog of case material from existing and anticipated new agents.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Four (4) Law Enforcement Officer II salary per agent at \$45,000 = \$180,000 One (1) Administrative Specialist II salary = \$30,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$77,700 Recurring Operating per Agent at \$11,446 = \$45,784 Overtime (104 Hrs Including Fringe at 22%) per agent at \$3,830 = \$15,320</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to hire the additional agents and pursue the expansion of the Interdiction Program and Narcotics Diversion Unit. Additionally, the agency would be unable to implement a Street Vice Unit in order to deter extreme violent activity in high risk areas throughout the state.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional agents would allow the Narcotics Unit to effectively provide increased enforcement throughout the state.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the successful implementation of the Street Vice Unit, as well as the reduction in prescription drug fraud.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1031
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Piedmont Office Space
--------------	------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$70,000
---------------	-----------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to lease additional office space for the Piedmont Regional Office. The Budget and Control Board will assist the agency to identify office lessor(s) with terms that are within the state's criteria.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>Current Piedmont Regional Office space is approximately 1,430 square feet in the lower floor of the Greenville County Courthouse. This includes evidence storage, supply storage, reception area, lobby, and conference room. Parking is limited to four reserved spaces in total. Additional parking is normally not available due to the high volume of traffic related to sessions of court.</p> <p>There are currently 12 agents assigned to the Piedmont Region, three supervisors, and an administrative assistant. There is limited space for the number of agents who share four work stations. This does not include other entities who utilize the Piedmont Region Office regularly. Agents have been forced to solicit alternative work stations in local agencies. This also creates serious security concerns for sensitive investigative files and/or work product. Agents are currently forced to maintain sensitive documents and to complete required reports and paperwork in their vehicles or homes. The lack of available work space also prevents use of the Piedmont Region Office for required meetings, training, interviews, etc.</p> <p>Additional space would allow agents to conduct investigative work, store files and make telephone calls, and interview witnesses and/or suspects. It would further provide agents with an area to meet, have briefings, plan events and/or raids, and share information on a regular basis. Lastly, the additional space would provide for evidence storage and a designated interview room.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	Estimated annual lease of \$70,000
------------------------------	------------------------------------

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to lease the additional office space. The Piedmont Region will continue to struggle with space allocation needs.
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>Securing additional office space for the Piedmont region will allow the agents to function more effectively, thereby providing better assistance to local law enforcement.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include successful transition into the new office space, increased productivity, and decreased storage challenges.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1034
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Polygraph/Inspections Personnel
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$114,196
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10(A)(6) Section 23-3-10(A)(7)
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to staff one (1) Safety Coordinator agent and one (1) Administrative Specialist II within the agency.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1856
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>One (1) Safety Coordinator Agent requested in the Inspections Unit to develop an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Compliance/Safety Unit dedicated to ensuring compliance and preventing safety violations.</p> <p>One (1) Administrative Specialist II position requested in the Polygraph Unit. This unit has no support staff, and <i>upon availability</i>, currently relies on the Forensic Laboratory staff to assist with administrative tasks. This request will ensure that the department has the support it needs in order to allow agents to focus on polygraphs.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>One (1) Law Enforcement Officer II = \$45,000 One (1) Administrative Specialist II = \$30,000 Fringe calculated at 37% = \$27,750 Recurring Operating per Agent = \$11,446</p>
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED will be unable to implement the OSHA Safety Unit, or hire adequate support staff for the Polygraph Unit. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these positions in future years until the units are fully staffed in order to meet the agency's key strategic goal as it relates to OSHA compliance.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The additional positions will ensure the agency is OSHA compliant, and allow the Polygraph Unit to more effectively provide services throughout the state.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the successful implementation of the OSHA Compliance/Safety Unit, and the increased productivity of the Polygraph Unit.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1037
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Specialized Training
--------------	-----------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$165,000
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3-10(A)(2) Section 23-3-10(A)(5)
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested for specialized training in the following areas within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aviation Training – Private Contract Training twice per year • Aviation – Helicopter Factory Training every other year • Forensic Crime Scene – Three external training sessions each year • Forensic Toxicology – Projected training for analysts and technicians each year
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aviation – Private contract instructor-led training in the fall and spring of each year for 9 pilots. • Aviation – Helicopter training is a three-day session at the Factory in Arizona that is more hands-on training. This is offered every other year totaling \$70,000. The agency requests \$35,000 annually to ensure funds available to accommodate this specialized training need. • Forensic Crime Scene -Three external training classes each year for ten Crime Scene agents. • Forensic Toxicology - Projected external training needs for Analysts and Technicians annually.
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Aviation Private Contract Training for nine pilots annually = \$45,000 Aviation Factory Training for nine pilots every other year totaling \$70,000 = \$35,000/yr. Crime Scene Training for 10 agents three times per year at approx. \$2,000/ea. = \$60,000 Toxicology Training for Analysts and Technicians estimated at \$25,000/yr.</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED must utilize fund balances to cover these specialized training needs. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these funds in future years in order to support the recurring needs for the long term.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The agency's specialized training needs in the areas of Aviation, Crime Scene and Toxicology are crucial to ensuring agents are properly educated on effectively performing their law enforcement duties for the benefit of the state.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include improved knowledge within each specialized discipline.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1040
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Technology/Equipment Software
--------------	--------------------------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$874,600
---------------	------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 23-3
---------------------------	--------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	<p>Funds requested for the following equipment and software needs within the agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ¼ Replacement of Computer Crimes Equipment Annually • ¼ Replacement of Agency Computers Annually • Updates of 16 Encase and Forensic Toolkit (FTK) Software Licenses for Computer Crimes Unit • Replacement of 15 Printers Annually • Pictometry Connect License/Maintenance Annually
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	Yes – nonrecurring request #1860
---------------------------	----------------------------------

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>In efforts to address the agency’s key strategic goal of procuring and using state of the art technology to enhance service and delivery of criminal justice information and tools, the agency needs funding to support a computer equipment replacement schedule each year. The Computer Crimes Unit is challenged by more advanced technology utilized by criminals online. An equipment replacement schedule every four years will ensure the unit stays abreast of new technology. Similarly, the unit’s ability to update software is just as critical.</p> <p>The Pictometry Connect is a highly secure, web-based solution that combines high resolution aerial imagery with customer Geographic Information System (GIS) data to create a powerful system that is easily accessible and interactive. This web-based solution will be utilized by the agency’s Fusion Center for emergency services and crime analysis and planning.</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Computer Crimes: 6 Forensic Exam Computers = \$46,200 Computer Crimes: 4 Forensic Field Preview Laptops = \$20,400 Computer Crimes: 4 Office Desktop Computers = \$12,000 Computer Crimes: 20 APC Battery Backups = \$10,000 Computer Crimes: 3 Servers = \$45,000 Computer Crimes: 1 Switch = \$10,000 Computer Crimes: 18 Specialty Monitors = \$45,000 Computer Crimes: 2 Cellular Forensic Devices = \$6,000 Computer Crimes: 16 Encase Software Licenses = \$56,000 Computer Crimes: 16 FTK Software Licenses = \$24,000 Agency: ¼ of agency systems 562/4=140; calculated at \$3,000/ea. =- \$420,000 15 Printers at \$2,000/ea. = \$30,000 Fusion: Pictometry Connect with annual maintenance = \$150,000</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED must utilize fund balances for the equipment and software replacement. Ultimately, the agency will continue to request these funds in future years to ensure a recurring fund source for equipment replacement. Federal funding and/or agency fund balances are not guaranteed or ideal for the long term.</p>
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>An equipment and software replacement schedule will ensure the agency stays abreast of the newest technology. State of the art technology will allow the agency to provide optimum assistance to local law enforcement.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>Performance measures include the amount of equipment replaced each year.</p>
---------------------------	---

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1043
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Vehicles
--------------	-----------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$2,078,024
---------------	--------------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Funds requested to replace 1/5 of the vehicle fleet within the agency.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	Since this request will be recurring in nature, current fund balances are not ideal.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The agency intends to implement a Vehicle Replacement Plan that is focused on daily use and permanently assigned vehicles to agents. Under this plan, the permanently assigned portion of SLED’s fleet is replaced in its entirety over a five (5) year period. The remainder of the fleet consists of specialty vehicles that have a longer life cycle, pool vehicles (previously used), and a strategic reserve of well-maintained spare vehicles (also previously used) for use in case of vehicle failures throughout the fleet or for new hires.</p> <p>Each fiscal year, 20% of assigned vehicles will be replaced. Factors such as mileage, mechanical reliability, age, and potential maintenance costs will be used to identify those vehicles to be replaced. SLED replaces its vehicles in accordance with criteria set forth the by the SC Budget & Control Board:</p>								
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>VEHICLE TYPE</th> <th>MILEAGE</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sedan, Police</td> <td>125,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Utility, large SUV</td> <td>150,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Truck, large Pick-Up</td> <td>150,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	VEHICLE TYPE	MILEAGE	Sedan, Police	125,000	Utility, large SUV	150,000	Truck, large Pick-Up	150,000
	VEHICLE TYPE	MILEAGE							
	Sedan, Police	125,000							
	Utility, large SUV	150,000							
Truck, large Pick-Up	150,000								
<p>Depending on their level of roadworthiness, vehicles taken out of permanent assignment status are sent to auction or rotated into a pool or spare (reserve) status. With a younger fleet, maintenance costs will drop as the primary focus will be on preventive rather than corrective maintenance.</p>									
<p>SLED’s current number of permanently assigned vehicles – 290 – will be replaced by the schedule below. The cost per vehicle is an average across vehicle types and also factors in added vehicle options, law enforcement light packages, installed radios, and</p>									

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

equipment security vaults.

290 Vehicles, Five Year Cycle	Vehicles to Replace	Cost per Vehicle	Total Cost per Year
Year 1	58	\$35,828.00	\$2,078,024.00
Year 2	58	\$35,828.00	\$2,078,024.00
Year 3	58	\$35,828.00	\$2,078,024.00
Year 4	58	\$35,828.00	\$2,078,024.00
Year 5	58	\$35,828.00	\$2,078,024.00

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>Number of permanently assigned vehicles of $290/5 = 58$ at \$35,828 per vehicle = \$2,078,024</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>N/A</p>
----------------------	------------

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>If new funds are not available for this request, SLED must utilize fund balances for the vehicle replacement schedule; however, the agency will need additional earmarked authority. Ultimately, SLED will continue to request these funds in future years to obtain a recurring fund source for vehicle replacement.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

INTENDED IMPACT	Safe vehicles for SLED agents will ensure better response time and enforcement efforts throughout the state.
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	Performance measures include a decrease in vehicle repair costs.
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1826
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Administrative
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$7,000
---------------	----------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new position requested in Decision Package #925 "Administrative Personnel":</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3,000 - Computer for the Information Resource Consultant position • \$4,000 – Computer Crimes Network Training <p>Grand total = \$7,000</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #925 Administrative Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title
Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No
Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.
What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A
What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A
What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1829
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system (“Governor’s Request”).

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Alcohol
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$1,255,740
---------------	--------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #928 “Alcohol Enforcement Personnel”:</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 30 Agents = \$1,255,740</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	<p>Yes – the recurring request #928 Alcohol Enforcement Personnel Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title</p> <p><i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i></p>
---------------------------	--

MATCHING FUNDS	<p>No</p> <p><i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i></p>
-----------------------	---

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.</p> <p><i>What other possible funding sources were considered?</i></p>
-----------------------------	---

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?</i></p>
--	---

OTHER APPROVALS	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)</i></p>
------------------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1832
-------------------------	------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Child Fatality
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$167,432
---------------	-----------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	--

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #941 "Child Fatality Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 4 Agents = \$167,432</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #941 Child Fatality Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title
Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No
Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.
What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A
What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A
What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1835
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-CJIS/Fusion
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$47,858
---------------	-----------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents and support staff requested in Decision Package #944 "CJIS/Fusion Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Total = \$41,858 per agent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$6,000 - Computers for two Support Staff (Administrative Assistant and Fingerprint Auditor) <p>Grand Total = \$47,858</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #944 CJIS/Fusion CJIS/Fusion Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title
Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No
Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.
What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A
What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A
What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1838
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Computer Crimes
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$241,290
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #947 "Computer Crimes Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 5 Agents = \$209,290</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3,000 - Computer for one Support Staff (Administrative Assistant) • \$11,000 – Digital Forensic Examination Training for one agent • \$18,000 – Cybercrime & Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Training for two agents • <p>Grand Total = \$241,290</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	<p>Yes – the recurring request #947 Computer Crimes Personnel Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title</p> <p><i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i></p>
---------------------------	--

MATCHING FUNDS	<p>No</p> <p><i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i></p>
-----------------------	---

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.</p> <p><i>What other possible funding sources were considered?</i></p>
-----------------------------	---

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?</i></p>
--	---

OTHER APPROVALS	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)</i></p>
------------------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1841
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Counter-Terrorism
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$254,796
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #958 "Counter-Terrorism Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost (Protective Svcs and Training Agents)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 2 Agents = \$83,716</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost (Arson and Bomb Agents)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$2,242 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$42,770 per agent 4 Agents = \$171,080</p> <p>Grand Total = \$254,796</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #958 Counter-Terrorism Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title
Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No
Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.
What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A
What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A
What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1844
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Forensics
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$141,736
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #1016 "Forensics Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost (Criminalist II: Drug Analysis & Firearms)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent Two Agents = \$83,716</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost (Senior Criminalist: Crime Scene)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$2,492 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$43,020 per agent One Agent = \$43,020</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$15,000 Computers (5) for one Administrative Assistant and four Fiscal Technicians <p>Grand Total = \$141,736</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	<p>Yes – the recurring request #1016 Forensics Personnel Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title</p> <p><i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i></p>
---------------------------	---

MATCHING FUNDS	<p>No</p> <p><i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i></p>
-----------------------	---

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.</p> <p><i>What other possible funding sources were considered?</i></p>
-----------------------------	---

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?</i></p>
--	---

OTHER APPROVALS	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)</i></p>
------------------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1847
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Investigative
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$460,438
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #1019 "Investigative Services Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 11 Agents = \$460,438</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #1019 Investigative Services Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title
Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No
Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.
What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A
What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A
What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1850
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Law Enforcement Operating Nonrecurring
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$331,259
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to Decision Package #1022 "Law Enforcement Operating":</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$46,259 – Connect existing GangNet database to FBI gang files in order to eliminate duplicate entry by local law enforcement into two separate databases. • \$35,000 – Replacement of body armor for 50 agents with warranty that expires. • \$250,000 – Gun Shot Residue (GSR) Scanning Electronic Microscope (SEM) equipment for the Forensics Laboratory. <p>Grand Total -= \$331,259</p>
----------------	--

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	<p>Yes – the recurring request #1022 Law Enforcement Operating No – not associated with other non-recurring requests</p>
---------------------------	---

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.</p>
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	N/A
--	-----

What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
------------------------	-----

What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1853
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Narcotics
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$170,432
---------------	------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agents requested in Decision Package #1028 "Narcotics Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent 4 Agents = \$167,432</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3,000 - Computer for the Administrative Specialist position <p>Grand Total = \$170,432</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #1028 Narcotics Personnel
Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.

What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A

What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A

What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1856
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	New Personnel Equipment-Polygraph/Inspections
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$47,858
---------------	-----------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment related to the new agent requested in Decision Package #1034 "Polygraph/Inspections Personnel":</p> <p><u>Per Agent Non-Recurring Cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$35,828 – Vehicle • \$800 – Protective Vest & Cover • \$500 – Glock, Duty Belt, Holster • \$400 – Shotgun • \$1,330 – Uniforms, Boots, Raingear, Protective Gloves, Handcuffs, PPE, Other • \$3,000 – Computer Equipment <p>Totals = \$41,858 per agent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$3,000 – Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) training for the Safety Coordinator agent. • \$3,000 - Computer for the Administrative Specialist position <p>Grand Total = \$47,858</p>
	<p><i>Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.</i></p>

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	<p>Yes – the recurring request #1034 Polygraph/Inspections Personnel Yes – could be combined with other non-recurring requests with the same title</p> <p><i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i></p>
---------------------------	---

MATCHING FUNDS	<p>No</p> <p><i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i></p>
-----------------------	---

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	<p>Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.</p> <p><i>What other possible funding sources were considered?</i></p>
-----------------------------	---

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?</i></p>
--	---

OTHER APPROVALS	<p>N/A</p> <p><i>What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)</i></p>
------------------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1860
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Technology Equipment/Software Nonrecurring
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$1,605,000
---------------	--------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the following equipment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$500,000 – Hard drives needed for the Automated Fingerprint Identification System (AFIS) Redundant Array Independent Disk (RAID). • \$80,000 – Additional matchers for the AFIS Morphident devices. • \$1,025,000 – Refresh the agency network infrastructure. This will allow the agency to replace outdated equipment to ensure SLED's network infrastructure is current and stable. This replacement will allow for a centralized management console for efficient monitoring and will reduce maintenance cost by eliminating most of the CISCO Smartnet cost associated with the old equipment. These funds will also provide for new server licenses associated with the new equipment. <p>Grand Total = \$1,605,000</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S) Yes – the recurring request #1040 Technology Equipment/Software
No – not associated with other non-recurring requests

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS No

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES Agency fund balances were considered; however, those funds have been committed for other nonrecurring purchases to include a helicopter, space allocation challenges, and an emergency contingency.

What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY N/A

What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS N/A

What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

FORM C – CAPITAL OR NON-RECURRING APPROPRIATION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	584
-------------------------	------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Helicopter
--------------	-------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	\$3,500,000
---------------	--------------------

How much is requested for this project in FY 2014-15?

BUDGET PROGRAM	Nonrecurring Appropriations - 98000000
-----------------------	---

Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.

SUMMARY	<p>This nonrecurring request is for the temporary increase in earmarked authority to allow the agency to procure a helicopter with current fund balances.</p>
----------------	---

Provide a summary of the project and explain why it is necessary.

AGENCY NAME:	State Law Enforcement Division (SLED)		
AGENCY CODE:	D100	SECTION:	62

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No
-----------------------	----

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	The agency intends to utilize current fund balances to procure a new helicopter; however, additional Other Fund Authority is requested for FY 2015 to proceed with procurement.
-----------------------------	---

What other possible funding sources were considered?

LONG-TERM PLANNING AND SUSTAINABILITY	The annual maintenance/inspection costs associated with the helicopter is anticipated in the future. Depending on the procurement specifications, the years in which the maintenance will be due is unknown. However, the agency anticipates requesting recurring dollars associated with the maintenance/inspection in order to sustain the equipment for the long term.
--	---

What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?

OTHER APPROVALS	Approvals from the BCB and JBRC will be necessary prior to procurement.
------------------------	---

What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:			
AGENCY CODE:		SECTION:	

FORM D – PROVISO REVISION REQUEST

NUMBER	62.16
	<i>Cite the proviso according to the State Budget Division’s renumbered list for FY 2014-15 (or mark “NEW”).</i>
TITLE	Use of PIP Funds
	<i>Provide the title from the FY 2013-14 Appropriations Act or suggest a short title for any new request.</i>
BUDGET PROGRAM	99000000 Capital Projects
	<i>Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.</i>
DECISION PACKAGE	No
	<i>Is this request associated with a decision package you have submitted for FY 2014-15? If so, cite it here.</i>
REQUESTED ACTION	Delete
	<i>Choose from: Add, Delete, Amend, or Codify.</i>
OTHER AGENCIES AFFECTED	Budget and Control Board (BCB) – both PIP projects #9807 and #9845 are administered by the BCB on behalf of SLED.
	<i>Which other agencies would be affected by the recommended action? How?</i>
SUMMARY	The agency is authorized to use approved permanent improvement funds for projects #F03-9807 and #F03-9845 toward construction of a storage and logistics facility.

Summarize the existing proviso. If requesting a new proviso, describe the current state of affairs without it.

AGENCY NAME:	
AGENCY CODE:	SECTION:

EXPLANATION	<p>The agency wishes to delete this proviso for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Project #9807 is for the Perimeter Fence and Drainage project. If at any point this project scope changes, it will be presented to the Joint Bond Review Committee (JBRC) for approval. 2. Project #9845 is in the process of being closed. This project was originally established for the CJIS Building Addition. The project is over six years old and has never been started. The delay in this project is partially due to the change of administration both in the CJIS/Data Center as well as the agency itself. <p>SLED is currently assessing the Data Center needs. Once the server infrastructure has been refreshed, the agency will have a better idea of future needs in that building. Upon completion of the agency-wide space analysis, SLED may re-establish a new project via the JBRC approval process.</p>
--------------------	--

Explain the need for your requested action. For deletion requests due to recent codification, please identify SC Code section where language now appears.

FISCAL IMPACT	N/A
----------------------	-----

Provide estimates of any fiscal impacts associated with this proviso, whether for state, federal, or other funds. Explain the method of calculation.

AGENCY NAME:

AGENCY CODE:

SECTION:

62.16 (SLED: Use of PIP Funds) The agency is authorized to use approved permanent improvement funds for projects 9807 and 9845 toward construction of a storage and logistics facility.

**PROPOSED
PROVISO TEXT**

Paste FY 2013-14 text above, then bold and underline insertions and strikethrough deletions. For new proviso requests, enter requested text above.