

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation



Fiscal Year 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan

FORM A – SUMMARY

RECURRING FUNDS (FORM B DECISION PACKAGES)	<p>My agency is submitting the following recurring decision packages (Form B): 1053, 1056, 1059, 1062, and 1065.</p> <p>For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.						
CAPITAL & NON-RECURRING FUNDS (FORM C DECISION PACKAGES)	<p>My agency is submitting the following one-time decision packages (Form C): No request</p> <p>For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.						
PROVISOS	<p>For FY 2014-15, my agency is (mark "X"):</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20px; background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #ff9933; text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Not requesting any proviso changes.</td> </tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting any proviso changes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Not requesting any proviso changes.						

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's budget process.

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	Adam Piper	(803) 734-3778	apiper@scag.gov
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Tom Osmer	(803) 734-3771	tosmer@scag.gov

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2014-15 Agency Budget Plan, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN/DATE):	
AGENCY DIRECTOR (TYPE/PRINT NAME):	South Carolina Attorney General Alan Wilson

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1053
-------------------------	------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Appellate Attorney II
--------------	-----------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	78,000
---------------	--------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 1, Chapter 7 State of South Carolina Code of Law
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Office of the Attorney General would use these funds in the creation of an appellate attorney position.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	100% State Funded
-----------------------	-------------------

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	The Office of the Attorney General currently does not have a sustainable source of funds to support this position.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The SCAG is requesting funding for one additional attorney for Criminal Appeals in view of the initiation of the 2013 Appellate Practice Project by the South Carolina Court of Appeals. The project has identified pro bono attorneys willing to be appointed to represent indigent criminal defendants on direct appeal, to file and serve at least 50 criminal appeal briefs on or before December 2, 2013, and to thereafter present oral argument in each of the cases. The 2013 Appellate Court Practice Project will result the increase of an additional 50 briefs that the State must respond to all with deadlines arising from December 2, 2013. Responses to the 50 or more briefs received at one time will greatly strain the resources of the office not only for responsive briefs in the months following receipt, but in preparing for a great number of arguments which fall due around the same time at the Court of Appeals as well as petitions for rehearing, petitions for certiorari to the Supreme Court, possible re-briefing in the Supreme Court and oral argument in that Court as well. The number of oral arguments even without the receipt of 50 briefs at one time has tripled in the last 2 years due to changes at the Court of Appeals.</p> <p>This new position is crucial to our mission because without it, the SCAG will lack resources to adequately review transcripts and exhibits, conduct necessary legal research, and prepare cogent responsive arguments in support of convictions and the rulings of our trial judges. The lack of adequate resources by either party undermines the balance and public confidence in our criminal justice system.</p>
----------------	--

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>The office calculated the salary based on OHR and agency guidelines.</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>There will be no other obligation required in the future.</p>
----------------------	--

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	<p>The SCAG would defer action until FY2014-2015. This might require utilizing resources from other programs placing those programs at a loss.</p>
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>The caseload of the office will not increase to unmanageable proportions and existing programs will not be burdened with providing resources to achieve a desired outcome.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>The SCAG has a case management system that tracks the efficiencies of programs in the agency. This position would be reviewed within the office policy and reporting made through the agency Accountability Report.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1056
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Information Technology Data Security Specialist
--------------	--

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	74,750
---------------	---------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 1, Chapter 7 State of South Carolina Code of Law
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Office of the Attorney General would use these funds in the creation of a Security Data Specialist.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
	<i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i>

MATCHING FUNDS	100% State Funded
	<i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i>

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	The Office of the Attorney General currently does not have a sustainable source of funds to support this position.
	<i>What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.</i>

SUMMARY	<p>This position will be responsible for securing the internal and external networks of the agency. This will include several job functions such as training agency employees on system security , security awareness, and data loss prevention; developing plans and policies for data security, integrity and loss prevention; monitoring systems for potential threats; developing plans for maintenance and upgrades to security infrastructure and mitigating security risks.</p> <p>A dedicated position is required to keep up with constantly changing security threats and policies; this position will require specialized skills, knowledge and security expertise to adequately assess and mitigate risks, knowledge that presently is not within the scope of the agency’s IT staff.</p>
	<i>Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?</i>

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

METHOD OF CALCULATION	The office calculated the salary based on OHR and agency guidelines.
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	At this time there is no other obligation required in the foreseeable future other than the salary and fringe expense associated with this position.
----------------------	--

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	The SCAG would defer action until FY2014-2015. This might require utilizing resources from other programs placing those programs at a loss of positions and assets.
-----------------------	---

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>Current staff monitors security as one of many job functions. With partial attention on security, the Office is at risk and vulnerable to attacks, breaches, downtime and data loss. A dedicated position will provide a consistent application of security procedures, policies, and monitoring. The Data Security Administrator will be a position dedicated to ensuring the security and protection of the Office.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>This position would be reviewed within the office policy and reporting made through the agency Accountability Report.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1059
-------------------------	------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system (“Governor’s Request”).

TITLE	Prosecution Attorneys
--------------	-----------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	470,600
---------------	---------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package’s total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 1, Chapter 7 State of South Carolina Code of Law
---------------------------	--

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark “X” for all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Office of the Attorney General would receive these funds to hire positions to contribute and support the efforts to prosecute violent crime. These positions are as follows: (1) Sex Crimes Prosecutor, (3) three Violent Crime Prosecutors, (1) a Violent Crime Paralegal, (1) a Criminal Domestic Violence Prosecutor, and (1) a Domestic Violence Coordinator.
----------------------------	---

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	100% State Funded
-----------------------	-------------------

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	The Office of the Attorney General currently does not have a sustainable source of funds to support these positions.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>The Office of the Attorney General prosecutes cases arising from the operation of the State Grand Jury and cases which are conflicted by the Circuit Solicitors. Presently, the Prosecution Section of the Office has a caseload which is increasing. Due to statewide prosecution, the logistics and time required to handle each case is increasing.</p> <p>The demand placed on this Office during the prosecution of violent crimes is growing. These cases are typically hard fought battles in which substantial attorney time is devoted to the preparation and prosecution of a criminal case. It is not unusual for a prosecutor to spend weeks preparing for such a trial because the law is complex and the stakes are high. Defendants charged with such crimes typically mount aggressive defenses because of the potential punishment they might receive if convicted. Prosecution of violent crime remains a high priority and resources are necessary to effectively combat all aspects of violent crime in our state.</p> <p>The State of South Carolina is now ranked #1 in women killed by men in domestic violence. We need to make sure it is the last time we are ranked last in such a horrible category. The office has already proven that a strong prosecutorial presence reduces the crime rate in the state. The ability to prosecute CDV cases in a timely manner and the ability to coordinate the caseload with witnesses will reduce the violence and protect the State. This is why we are requesting additional resources in terms of victims assistance and education to aid our prosecution efforts.</p> <p>In recent months, headlines ranging from the #1 rating to violent deaths across the state to the Silent Tears study have chronicled the need for more resources to combat the proliferation of sex crimes, violent crimes, and criminal domestic violence facing our state. In order for South Carolina to remain the safest place possible to live, work, and raise families, we must meet the core functions of government – the safety and security</p>
----------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

	<p>of our citizens. Dedicating resources to prosecution and education will help meet that maxim.</p>
--	--

METHOD OF CALCULATION	<p>The office calculated the salary based on OHR and agency guidelines.</p>
------------------------------	---

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	<p>No other obligations will be required.</p>
----------------------	---

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

PRIORITIZATION	The SCAG would defer action until FY2014-2015.
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>This position would alleviate the backlog of cases and allow prosecutors more latitude in trying cases.</p>
------------------------	--

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>The SCAG has a case management system that tracks the efficiencies of programs in the agency. This position would be reviewed within the office policy and reporting made through the agency Accountability Report.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1062
-------------------------	-------------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system (“Governor’s Request”).

TITLE	Criminal Domestic Violence Law Enforcement Victim’s Advocate
--------------	---

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	45,500
---------------	---------------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package’s total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Federal Grant E2001VAWA310 established this program in the Office of the Attorney General. This Federal grant is funded by the Violence Against Women Act and given to the State through the Department of Public Safety.
---------------------------	---

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark “X” for all that apply:
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
<input type="checkbox"/> Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Office of the Attorney General would receive these funds to hire a position to contribute and support the efforts to prosecute Violence Against Women.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
---------------------------	----

Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?

MATCHING FUNDS	No, 100% State funded.
-----------------------	------------------------

Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	We currently do not have a sustainable source of funds to support this position.
-----------------------------	--

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

SUMMARY	<p>In prosecuting CDV-1st offenses, the main problem the VAWA program is challenged with is the difficulty in locating victims and witnesses, serving subpoenas, and in being able to provide assistance to victims to the extent that it is needed to obtain a successful prosecution.</p> <p>VAWA prosecutes in six courts every month. Often the only information the prosecutor is given to use to contact victims is what is on the incident report, victim statements, or victim notification forms. Frequently, the information on these forms is out of date, inaccurate, or the victims and witnesses use prepaid cell phones that make them impossible to reach. Local police departments and sheriffs' offices do not have the resources to track down victims and witnesses for monthly courts or jury trials. Serving subpoenas is only accomplished after a great deal of conflict. When we cannot find a victim or witness, we have no way to locate them and we have to proceed without their testimony, often resulting in a dismissal or a not guilty verdict. A LEVA on staff would increase the efficiency of the office and result in more positive verdicts.</p> <p>An Investigator/LEVA would also be helpful in prosecuting bond violations. We are not able to go to the defendant or victim's home to see if they are together, in violation of the defendant's bond. This is frustrating at best, but certainly makes us less prone to obtain guilty pleas through successful plea negotiations.</p> <p>While we attempt to meet the needs of the victim that allows them to appear in court, we are only trained as prosecutors. We refer them to the resources that are available, but we get much more cooperation from a victim who has someone else helping them through the process. Some law enforcement agencies have victim advocates who are wonderful, but most that we work with do not. Those victims are left alone in court,</p>
----------------	---

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

and often the only contact prior to court that they receive is from the prosecutor. A LEVA would help them apply for orders of protection and restraining orders and meet with them in court to be a resource for them when they have questions. This ultimately strengthens our cases as prosecutors and provides a path to a positive prosecution.

Our office’s victim services division currently is not equipped to handle VAWA cases, as we often handle 30+ cases per month. The bottom line is victim cooperation is often tenuous and fleeting. If we can secure this cooperation we will obtain good results and CDV convictions.

This position will also serve Human Trafficking cases helping the victim by providing resource information. This strengthens the case and helps obtain a desirable outcome for the State.

Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

METHOD OF CALCULATION	The office calculated the salary based on OHR and agency guidelines.
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	No other obligations will be required.
----------------------	--

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	The SCAG would defer action until FY2014-2015.
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>This position would remove barriers from successful prosecutions and allow the prosecutors to focus on their job of prosecuting. It would provide the foundation for the cases tried allowing for greater positive outcomes.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>This position would be reviewed within the office policy and reporting made through the agency Accountability Report.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

FORM B – PROGRAM REVISION REQUEST

DECISION PACKAGE	1065
-------------------------	------

Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").

TITLE	Anti-Gang Coordinator
--------------	-----------------------

Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.

AMOUNT	45,500
---------------	--------

What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2014-15? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.

ENABLING AUTHORITY	Section 63-19-1430 Youth Mentor Act
---------------------------	-------------------------------------

What state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority?

FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	Mark "X" for all that apply:	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.	

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	The Office of the Attorney General would receive these funds to hire a position to contribute and support the efforts to reduce gang violence in South Carolina.
----------------------------	--

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

RELATED REQUEST(S)	No
	<i>Is this decision package associated with other decision packages requested by your agency or other agencies this year? Is it associated with a specific capital or non-recurring request?</i>

MATCHING FUNDS	100% State Funded
	<i>Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.</i>

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES	The Office of the Attorney General currently does not have a sustainable source of funds to support this position.
	<i>What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.</i>

SUMMARY	This new position will involve outreach to at-risk youth, working across law enforcement agencies and across South Carolina. The focus will be on anti-gang and drug prevention programs in which our Office partners with law enforcement entities throughout the state to provide underserved young people with mentorship opportunities. The program aims to reshape the relationship between law enforcement and children in underserved communities. This program is authorized by Section 63-19-1430, Youth Mentor Act.
	<i>Provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used?</i>

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

METHOD OF CALCULATION	The office calculated the salary based on OHR and agency guidelines.
------------------------------	--

How was the amount of the request calculated? What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?

FUTURE IMPACT	No other obligations will be required.
----------------------	--

Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?

PRIORITIZATION	The SCAG would defer action until FY2014-2015.
-----------------------	--

If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2014-15?

AGENCY NAME:	SC Office of the Attorney General		
AGENCY CODE:	E200	SECTION:	State Litigation

INTENDED IMPACT	<p>This program is designed to reach at-risk youth and provide positive models for youth.</p>
------------------------	---

What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?

PROGRAM EVALUATION	<p>This position would be reviewed within the office policy and reporting made through the agency Accountability Report.</p>
---------------------------	--

How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?