


A 5 A0255

8.992

Copy 1

**SOUTH CAROLINA
LEGISLATIVE
AUDIT COUNCIL**



**ANNUAL REPORT
1991-1992**

Printed Under The Direction Of The
State Budget And Control Board

S. C. STATE LIBRARY

JAN 08 1993

STATE DOCUMENTS

LAC

Legislative Audit Council

400 Gervais Street
Columbia, SC 29201
(803)253-7612
(803)253-7639 FAX



October 15, 1992

His Excellency, Carroll A. Campbell, Jr., Governor
and Members of the General Assembly

This is the annual report of the South Carolina Legislative Audit Council for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1992. In addition to a general overview of the organization and activities of the council, this report contains a summary of the six performance audits released during the year. If you have questions relating to any of these audits, please do not hesitate to contact me.

The Audit Council encourages legislative requests for performance audits and is committed to audit work that will be responsive to legislative needs. Our staff maintains the highest professional standards for quality, and we welcome questions or comments concerning our work.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "George L. Schroeder".

George L. Schroeder
Director

Table of Contents

Organization	1
Audit Work	1
Review of Audits Completed	5
Organization Chart	8
Audit Team Configuration	9
Receipts and Disbursements	10
Publication List	11

Organization

The Legislative Audit Council (LAC), established in 1975, is composed of three public members and six ex officio members. The public members, one of whom must be a practicing certified or licensed public accountant, are elected from nominees presented by a nominating committee in a joint session of the General Assembly. Any person who is a member of the General Assembly, or has served in the preceding two years, cannot be elected as a public member of the Legislative Audit Council. Public members are elected to six-year terms and vote on all LAC matters.

The six ex officio members of the LAC are the chairs of the Senate and House Judiciary Committees, the chairs of the Senate Finance and the House Ways and Means Committees, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the Lieutenant Governor. Ex officio members can vote on all matters except those pertaining to audit functions and personnel.

The Legislative Audit Council staff of 20 is headed by a director. The audit staff includes CPAs, attorneys and professional auditors. Most staff have earned advanced degrees and/or professional certification, with backgrounds in business management, public administration, accounting, law, political science, education, economics, computer science, and statistics. A diversity in staff training and experience allows the LAC to bring a broad perspective to its audit work.

Council Members

Robert L. Thompson, Jr., Chairman
Robert S. McCoy, Jr.
Sherri Mathews-Hazel, CPA

Audit Work

The Legislative Audit Council is the oversight agency of the South Carolina General Assembly. Under §2-15-10 *et seq.* of the South Carolina Code of Laws, the LAC is required to audit the operations of state agencies, to investigate fiscal matters as required, and to provide information to assist the General Assembly. Some audits are conducted at the request of legislators who have questions about potential problems in state agencies or programs. Other audits are performed as a result of statutory mandate. For example, the Sunset Act of 1978 mandates specific audits to be performed on a regular basis. During FY 91-92, the LAC issued six performance audits.

The Legislative Audit Council conducts independent, objective performance audits of state operations. This work provides accountability in the management of public funds. The LAC has provided benefits to the citizens of South Carolina at a low cost. The LAC budget for FY 91-92 was approximately \$1.06 million, which represents only .03% of the state dollars appropriated for that year. Through its work, the LAC identifies savings which far exceed the agency's budget. For example, the special report, *Cost Savings for*

State Government, published in January 1992, identified direct savings of more than \$500 million over five years. In addition, benefits of the LAC's work can be seen through improved management in state agencies and programs.

Types of Audits

The LAC provides a number of services to members of the General Assembly, including program evaluations, compliance audits, economy and efficiency reviews, limited-scope audits, special investigations, briefings, testimony, and other governmental research and assistance.

LAC studies usually address:

- Ways in which agencies may operate more economically and efficiently.
- Whether the agency or program is operating within legislative intent and in compliance with statutes and regulations.
- Whether desired program results or benefits established by the General Assembly are being achieved.

LAC projects may be very limited in scope and require only a few months to complete, or may involve comprehensive review of an agency, requiring extensive fieldwork.

FY 91-92 provided a striking example of the LAC's flexibility in serving the General Assembly. In response to a request to identify cost savings to help state government respond to a period of limited resources, four LAC audit teams combined to address this project on an agency-wide basis. By directing all its resources to the project, the LAC was able to respond in a timely manner with the publication of *Cost Savings for State Government: A Special Report* in January 1992.

Sunset Audits

The Sunset Act of 1978 (Act 608, Title 1, Chapter 20) requires that the LAC conduct full-scope reviews of state regulatory agencies and boards on a six-year cycle. These reviews address eight statutory questions and determine the impact that would occur if these agencies were terminated or modified. The State Reorganization Commission and appropriate legislative committees use the findings from sunset audits as they consider whether to take action to reestablish the agencies. Public hearings are held as a part of this review process.

In FY 91-92, the LAC participated in the public hearings of seven boards scheduled to be terminated June 30, 1992. The LAC also reviewed five other boards scheduled for termination June 30, 1993.

Audit Requests

Requests are received in writing from members of the General Assembly. Other audits are performed when mandated by a statute or resolution. When a request for an audit is received, the requestor is notified that it will be placed on the Legislative Audit Council agenda.

The LAC then evaluates and schedules the audit request using several criteria including:

- The Legislative Audit Council's enabling legislation, which states the request may come from:
 - . . . the General Assembly or either of its respective bodies, a standing committee, and the Speaker of the House, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, or not less than five members of the General Assembly.
- The impact of the program or agency on the citizens of South Carolina.
- The amount of public funds appropriated to the agency.
- The potential impact of the LAC's recommendations on the efficient and effective delivery of services.
- The existing workload.

The Legislative Audit Council encourages members and committees of the General Assembly to submit requests for audits.

Audit Standards

In order to present unbiased information to the General Assembly, the Legislative Audit Council seeks to work in an objective and independent manner. All audit reports are conducted according to the generally accepted governmental auditing standards established by the United States General Accounting Office (GAO) and endorsed by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

In July 1988, the GAO released revised audit standards entitled *Government Auditing Standards* (referred to as the "Yellow Book"). Members of the LAC staff were actively involved in the development of these changes. The standards include requirements for the auditor's professional qualifications, the methodology used in conducting an audit, and the characteristics of professional audit reports. The standards help ensure that audits are fair, objective and reliable assessments of government performance.

The LAC also uses the audit exit process to prevent unintentional error or bias. The LAC provides a draft copy of the audit report to the audited agency under strict confidentiality guidelines. The agency is invited to reply to the audit in writing, and these written comments become a part of the final report. Until the report is released to the General Assembly, the information it contains is confidential. Upon publication, all audits become a matter of public record.

Peer Review

All government agencies and private firms in the United States that operate under the GAO *Government Auditing Standards* must undergo a GAO-sanctioned external quality control review every three years. The Audit Council participated in a peer review in February 1990 and received an unqualified opinion from the National State Auditors Association (NSAA).

The NSAA found that the Audit Council's system of quality control provided reasonable assurance of compliance with generally accepted government auditing standards in effect for audits issued during the period January 1989 through January 1990, and met the objectives of the NSAA quality control peer review guidelines.

National Awards for Excellence

In August 1990, the Legislative Audit Council received the National Award for Excellence in Performance Auditing from the National Legislative Program Evaluation Section (NLPES) of the National Conference of State Legislatures. In August 1991, the LAC received the NLPES award for Excellence in Research Design and Methodology. These awards identified the LAC as the top legislative performance audit organization in the country.

Report Distribution

The LAC distributes copies of audits to each member of the General Assembly, the clerks of the House and Senate, the state constitutional officers, the Office of the Governor, the State Library, the news media, and the agency under review. Members of the public and other interested parties can obtain copies of audits upon request. In addition, the audit manager of each audit is available to discuss any specific questions about audits.

Efficiency and Effectiveness Factors

The LAC has identified the following measures for which data will be collected on agency performance:

- Percentage of audit recommendations implemented and complied with by audited agencies.
- Percentage of audits completed within 10% of total budgeted hours.

Review of Audits Completed

The following section provides a brief summary of the audits completed during FY 91-92. A comprehensive list of all audits performed since the inception of the Legislative Audit Council in 1975 follows the summaries on page 11.

Cost Savings for State Government: A Special Report, January 1992

In April 1991, the chairman and 12 members of the House Ways and Means Committee, with the concurrence of the Senate Finance Committee chairman, asked the Legislative Audit Council to identify ways to cut government costs without adversely affecting services. In response, the audit identified direct savings of approximately \$514 million over 5 years, and additional revenue of about \$353 million over 5 years through recommended changes in tax rates. The areas reviewed included the following:

Travel - The audit identified state vehicles as an area of potential savings. Eliminating the assignment of state vehicles could save \$280,000 to \$370,000 annually, and reducing the private vehicle reimbursement rate could save \$1.7 million annually. The audit also recommended contracting with airlines for discount airfares, reducing the number of state-owned aircraft and placing limits on lodging reimbursements to state employees.

Revenue Collections - The state's general fund could gain an additional \$1.9 million if the highway department remitted a greater percentage of the casual sales taxes it collects. Also the state could sell land and residences that are not vital to the missions of state agencies, such as a beach house on four oceanfront acres.

Human Resources - The state could save \$100 million over five years through a retirement incentive, although cost savings could be achieved only if some vacated positions are not filled. Also recommended were the establishment of a voluntary furlough program and the elimination of an unearned "separation bonus" for some state employees.

Education - The report recommended eliminating the subsidy for out-of-state college students to save about \$39 million a year. Other recommendations involved remitting to the state's general fund indirect cost recoveries from federal grants of up to \$19.8 million, and using computer-assisted school bus routing to reduce miles driven and cut costs by, conservatively, \$1.9 million.

Medicaid - Costs could be reduced without cutting services by limiting the amount of reimbursement that a nursing home can receive for building costs which exceed its actual costs. Also, South Carolina could save funds by contracting for medicaid claims processing.

Support Services - The report recommended reduction in printing costs and identified about \$800,000 annual savings in postage costs to be obtained by increased use of inter-agency mail and pre-sorting. Also recommended were a greater use of prison industries and a centralized energy management and conservation program.

Tax Rates - Without increasing direct taxes to consumers, changes in tax law could generate an additional \$70.7 million a year for the state.

Contact persons: Cheryl Ridings, Tom Bardin, Jane Thesing

South Carolina Board of Pharmacy, June 1992

After reviewing the laws and operations of the South Carolina Board of Pharmacy, we concluded that the regulation of pharmacists, pharmacy assistants and pharmacies should be continued. We found that in most areas the board was complying with state laws and regulations and operating efficiently. We recommended improvements in establishing written complaint procedures, ensuring timely deposits of revenues, and conducting employee performance evaluations.

Contact Person: Marcia Ashford

State Board of Medical Examiners, June 1992

The State Board of Medical Examiners, which regulates physicians, physician assistants and respiratory care practitioners, should be continued since termination of this board would pose a threat to the safety, health and welfare of the public. We recommended that the board consider reviewing regulations on physician assistants to determine how they can be used more fully within the medical community. The board should also economize by purchasing smaller vehicles and reducing travel expense. The General Assembly may wish to give the board the authority to require impairment testing of applicants and licensees.

Contact Person: Marcia Ashford

South Carolina Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, June 1992

After reviewing the laws and operations of the South Carolina Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners, we concluded that the regulation of veterinarians and animal health technicians should be continued. The board should complete a policies and procedures manual and written procedures for processing complaints. Also, the board should hire an investigator/inspector needed to carry out complaint investigations and inspections of veterinarians' premises.

Contact Person: Marcia Ashford

State Board of Nursing

The State Board of Nursing regulates registered nurses, licensed practical nurses and nurse practitioners. We concluded that this board should be continued, and we found no material problems with the operations of the board.

Contact Person: Marcia Ashford


South Carolina Board of Chiropractic Examiners

After reviewing the laws and operations of the South Carolina Board of Chiropractic Examiners, we concluded that the regulation of chiropractors should be continued. The board has generally complied with state laws and regulations. However, we recommended improvements in the complaint handling process. Also, the board should define what types of health problems chiropractic can and cannot effectively treat.

Contact Person: Marcia Ashford

Legislative Audit Council


Organization Chart August 1992



∞

Legal Counsel provides legal support for all audit teams.

Audit Team Configuration



October 1992

Director
George L. Schroeder

Deputy Director
Cheryl A. Ridings

Senior Audit Manager
Thomas J. Bardin, Jr.

Audit Manager
Sara Schechter-Schoeman, J.D.
Jane I. Thesing

Senior Auditor
Priscilla T. Anderson
Perry K. Simpson
Andrew M. Young

Senior Financial Auditor
Lyndon P. Chappell, CPA

Senior Legal Counsel
Jane McCue Johnson, J.D.

Associate Auditor
Marcia S. Ashford
Randy Cherry

Assistant Auditor
Conchatta Renee Gregory, J.D.
Roberta Leighton McCoy
Bethany Allen Narboni
Elizabeth W. Oakman
Kathleen C. Snider

Business Manager
Susan S. Long

Information Resource Coordinator
Candice H. Pou

Administrative Assistant
Maribeth Rollings Werts

**Receipts and Disbursements of Appropriated Funds
Year Ending June 30, 1992**

Appropriation		
Original Appropriations	\$1,088,359.00	
Adjusted Appropriations		<u>\$1,046,741.00</u>
Disbursements		
Personal Services		
Director	\$66,254.88	
Unclassified Positions	644,807.83	
Per Diem	<u>630.00</u>	
Total Personal Services		\$711,692.71
Other Operating		
Contractual	\$35,447.60	
Supplies	13,373.76	
Fixed Charges/Contributions	90,100.03	
Travel	7,795.28	
Equipment	<u>17,459.83</u>	
Total Other Operating		\$164,176.50
Employer Contributions	\$153,808.74	
Total Employer Contributions		\$153,808.74
Total Disbursements		<u>\$1,029,677.95</u>
Lapsed to General Fund		<u>17,063.05</u>
		<u>\$1,046,741.00</u>

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

Adjutant General's Office, Management Review of the South Carolina	January 1990
Aeronautics Commission, Management and Performance Review of the S.C.	April 1986
Alcohol and Drug Abuse, Management and Performance Review of the S.C. Commission on	July 1980
Alcoholic Beverage Control Commission, A Review of Hiring and Promotion Practices of the South Carolina	October 1990
Analysis of Problems and Possible Abuses in Implementing Act 208 of 1975	May 1979
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 90-91
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 89-90
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 88-89
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 87-88
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 86-87
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 85-86
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 84-85
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 83-84
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 82-83
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 81-82
Annual Report of the LAC	FY 80-81
Architectural/Engineering Selection Law of 1974, A Study of the S.C.	April 1980
Arts Commission, Study of the S.C.	June 1980
Blind, Management and Performance Review of the S.C. Commission for the	May 1988
Budget Process, A Review of the Legislative Oversight in the State	January 1980
Capitol Complex Phase 2 Construction: Blatt Bldg., Gressette Bldg., and Underground Parking Facility, Review of the	July 1978
Child Development within the Department of Social Services, Review of the Division of	May 1981
College of Charleston, A Management and Performance Review of the	December 1986

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

Consolidated Travel Voucher Processing for Lump Sum Agencies, A Study of the Proposal for	September 1981
Continuum of Care for Emotionally Disturbed Children, A Limited-Scope Review of the South Carolina	May 1990
Corrections' Prison Population Projections (Special Project), A Review of S.C. Department of	February 1986
Corrections' Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan, Cost Analysis of Phase I of the S.C. Department of	December 1978
Cost Savings for State Government: A Special Study	January 1992
Dairy Commission, Management and Performance Review of the S.C.	February 1980
Disaster Preparedness Agency, Program & Operational Review of the S.C.	March 1976
Economic Development Activities, A Review and Assessment of the State's	April 1985
Economic Development Activities, Summary of the State Government	November 1983
Education, A Management and Operational Audit of the S.C. State Department of	January 1986
Educational Television Network, A Performance and Management Review of the S.C.	April 1981
Emergency Preparedness Division of the Adjutant General's Office, Review of the	December 1981
Endowments, A Review of the Relationship Between State Agencies and Associated	March 1983
Environmental Quality Control Division of the Department of Health and Environmental Control, Management and Performance Review of the	July 1980
Evaluating State Government (Brochure)	December 1990
Family Planning Program of the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control, Program Review of the	March 1980
Federal and Other Funding on Legislative Oversight, A Study of the Impact of	May 1977
Forestry Commission, A Management and Performance Audit of the S.C.	June 1987
Health and Environmental Control, Management and Performance Review of the S.C. Department of	March 1986

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

Health and Human Services Finance Commission, Management Review of the S.C.	October 1987
Higher Education Study Committee: Overlapping and Unnecessary Duplication in Higher Education, A Special Report to the	January 1977
Higher Education, Management and Operational Review of the S.C. Commission on	June 1978
Highways and Public Transportation/Summary, Management and Performance Audit of the S.C. Department of	May 1983
Highways and Public Transportation Minority Goals Program, A Limited-Scope Review of the SC Department of	May 1991
Home Energy Assistance Program, Review of the	February 1982
Housing Finance and Development Authority's Homeownership Program, A Review of the State	March 1991
Human Services Demonstration Project, A Review of the	August 1984
Human Services, A Plan for a S.C. Statewide Integrated Information System for	January 1978
Leasing Policies, State	March 1989
Legislator's Guide to the S.C. LAC	March 1981
Medicaid Program in S.C., Management and Performance Review of the	February 1982
Medicaid Program, Management Audit of S.C. Department of Social Services	January 1977
Medical University of South Carolina, Management and Performance Review of the	March 1979
Mental Health, A Limited-Scope Review of the South Carolina Department of	March 1988
Mental Health, A Management and Performance Review of the Department of	November 1983
Mental Health, A Review of Compliance with Our 1983 Audit of the Department of	March 1990
Mental Retardation, Management and Performance Review of the S.C. Department of	May 1985
Nuclear Emergency Preparedness in S.C., An Evaluation of	April 1980
Patriots Point Development Authority, Audit of	December 1980

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

Personnel System of S.C., Management and Operational Review of the State	May 1978
Ports Authority Upon the Economy of South Carolina, Review of the Economic Benefits of the Wando Terminal as Set Forth in the Report Entitled Impact of the State	November 1978
Ports Authority's Grain Elevator, A Review of the S.C. State	May 1982
Printing, Duplicating and Photocopying Activities of S.C. State Agencies, Program and Operational Review of	November 1978
Prison Overcrowding In S.C./Summary, Study and Review of	September 1982
Procurements, Statewide Review of Noncompetitive	April 1988
Public Service Commission, Program and Operational Review of the S.C.	April 1977
Purchasing Systems and Public Purchasing in S.C., A Comparison of Cost Effectiveness Procedures Among Centralized and Decentralized	March 1981
Reserve Fund Activities of the State General Fund	October 1978
Road-Paving Costs in S.C., A Review of	April 1981
Social Services, A Limited-Scope Review of the Department of	May 1991
Social Services, A Management and Performance Review of the S.C. Department of	February 1985
State Workers' Compensation Fund, A Management and Performance Review of the	September 1986
Tax Commission, A Management and Performance Review of the S.C.	October 1986
Trident Technical College Volume I, Volume II, Summary, A Management and Performance Review of	March 1984
USC and its Foundations and USC Discretionary Spending, A Review of the Relationship Between	July 1989
Vocational and Technical Education, State Council on	May 1992
Water Resources Commission, Review of the South Carolina	December 1988
Workers' Compensation System, Program Review of the S.C.	March 1988
Youth Services, Program and Operational Review of the S.C. Department of	February 1978

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

<p>1991 DMVM Review</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Management Act, A Compliance Review of the South Carolina</p>	<p>March 1991</p>
<p>1988 DMVM Review</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Management, Compliance Review of the S.C. Budget and Control Board Division of</p>	<p>March 1988</p>
<p>1980 DMVM Review</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Management Program, Review of Compliance with the Comprehensive Statewide</p>	<p>April 1980</p>
<p>1979 DMVM Review</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Management Program, Review of Compliance with the Comprehensive Statewide</p>	<p>April 1979</p>
<p>1978 DMVM Review</p> <p>Motor Vehicles, Program and Operational Review of the Acquisition, Use and Management of S.C. State-Owned</p>	<p>March 1978</p>
<p>1989 EFA Review</p> <p>School District Capital Improvement Needs, A Limited-Scope Review of the State's System for Assessing and Funding</p>	<p>December 1989</p>
<p>1988 EFA Review</p> <p>Education Finance Act, A Review of the</p>	<p>December 1988</p>
<p>1988 EFA Special Review</p> <p>Teacher Salary Revenues, Review of</p>	<p>November 1988</p>
<p>1983 EFA Review</p> <p>Education Finance Act of 1977/Summary, A Review of the</p>	<p>June 1983</p>
<p>1981 EFA Review</p> <p>Education Expenditures for Handicapped Students in Six State Agencies, Cost Analysis of</p>	<p>July 1981</p>
<p>1980 EFA Review</p> <p>Education Finance Act of 1977, Study of the Implementation of the</p>	<p>December 1980</p>

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

<p>1992 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Board of Pharmacy Board of Medical Examiners Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners</p>	<p>June 1992</p>
<p>1991 Sunset Review of the South Carolina Department of Insurance</p>	<p>June 1991</p>
<p>1991 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetology Board of Accountancy Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators and Community Residential Care Facility Administrators Respiratory Care Committee Board of Registration for Geologists</p>	<p>June 1991</p>
<p>1990 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Commissioners of Pilotage for the Port of Charleston Polygraph Examiners Program Private Detective and Private Security Agencies Board of Registration for Foresters S.C. Coordinating Council for Economic Development Bd. of Examiners for the Licensure of Professional Counselors, Associate Counselors and Marital and Family Therapists S.C. Auctioneers' Commission State Commission for Hearing Aid Dealers and Fitters</p>	<p>June 1990</p>
<p>1989 Sunset Reviews</p> <p>Board of Funeral Service Board of Registration for Landscape Architecture Board of Architectural Examiners Board of Examiners for Registered Environmental Sanitarians Board of Social Work Examiners Cemetery Board Board for Barrier Free Design Athletic Trainers' Advisory Committee</p>	<p>June 1989</p>
<p>1988 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Manufactured Housing Board Real Estate Commission Residential Home Builders Commission Licensing Board for Contractors Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors Board of Certification of Environmental Systems Operators</p>	<p>June 1988</p>

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

1988 Sunset Review of the Public Service Commission	June 1988
1987 Sunset Review of the Board of Dentistry	September 1987
1987 Sunset Review of: Board of Podiatry Examiners Board of Examiners in Optometry Board of Examiners in Opticianry Board of Physical Therapy Examiners Board of Examiners in Psychology Board of Examiners in Speech Pathology and Audiology Board of Occupational Therapy	June 1987
1986 Sunset Review of: Board of Pharmacy Board of Medical Examiners Board of Dentistry Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners Joint Liaison Committee on Small Business	June 1986
1985 Sunset Review of: Department of Insurance Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetology Board of Accountancy Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators	September 1985
1984 Sunset Review of: Masseurs or Masseuses Program Polygraph Examiners Program Private Detectives and Private Security Agency Program Certification Program for Public Librarians Board of Registration for Foresters	August 1984
1984 Sunset Review of the Charleston Commission of Pilotage	May 1985
1983 Sunset Review of the Board of Architectural Examiners	January 1984
1983 Sunset Review of the Board of Registration for Landscape Architecture	January 1984

**LAC Publication List
June 1992**

<p>1983 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Funeral Service Board of Examiners for Registered Sanitarians Board of Social Worker Registration Dairy Commission State Cemetery Board Building Code Council Board for Barrier Free Design</p>	<p>August 1983</p>
<p>1982 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Manufactured Housing Board Real Estate Commission Residential Home Builders Commission Licensing Board for Contractors Board of Engineering Examiners Board of Certification of Environmental Systems Operators</p>	<p>September 1982</p>
<p>1982 Sunset Review of the Public Service Commission</p>	<p>June 1982</p>
<p>1981 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Podiatry Examiners Board of Examiners in Optometry Board of Examination and Registration of Physical Therapists Board of Examiners in Psychology Board of Examiners in Speech Pathology and Audiology Board of Occupational Therapy Board of Examiners in Opticianry</p>	<p>July 1981</p>
<p>1980 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Board of Pharmaceutical Examiners Board of Medical Examiners Board of Dentistry Board of Veterinary Medical Examiners Board of Nursing Board of Chiropractic Examiners</p>	<p>July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980 July 1980</p>
<p>1979 Sunset Review of:</p> <p>Insurance Commission/Department of Insurance Board of Barber Examiners Board of Cosmetic Art Examiners Board of Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators Board of Accountancy Landscape Architects Board of Registration Board of Architectural Examiners</p>	<p>June 1979 August 1979 August 1979 June 1979 July 1979 July 1979 July 1979</p>

Total Number of Documents Printed	<u>255</u>
Cost Per Unit	\$ <u>1.09</u>
Printing Cost - S.C. State Budget & Control Board (up to 255 copies)	\$ <u>277.54</u>
Printing Cost - Individual Agency (requesting over 255 copies and/or halftones)	\$ _____
Total Printing Cost	\$ <u>277.54</u>