

Accountability Report Transmittal Form


Agency Name S.C. Governor's School for Science & Mathematics

Date of Submission September 15, 2005

Agency Director Dr. Murray Brockman, President

Agency Contact Person: Mr. Ernie Boyd, VP for Finance & Operations

Agency Contact's Telephone Number (843) 383-3906 Email: Boyd@gssm.k12.sc.us



S.C. Governor's School for Science & Mathematics 2004-2005 Accountability Report

Continuing Excellence with Austerity while Planning for Growth

September 14, 2005

Mr. Les Boles, Director
Office of State Budget, Budget & Control Board
1201 Main Street, Suite 950
Columbia, SC 29201

Re: 2004-2005 Accountability Report

Dear Mr. Boles:

It is a pleasure to submit the 2004-2005 Accountability Report for the South Carolina Governor's School for Science and Mathematics (GSSM).

This report covers an extraordinary year – including the continuation of the toughest budget climate in our history combined with second-year operation of GSSM's partially completed school building. We went beyond our normal level of frugality, trimming important programs to enable operations in a partially built and furnished building while simultaneously upholding the highest standards of operation. In addition, we thankfully accepted the possibility of help through unclaimed lottery funds – and spent the entire year holding back expenditures, tracking and hoping these funds would become real. In May, one month before the end of the fiscal year, these funds were certified allowing us to end the year in the black.

GSSM is committed to maintaining the quality of our programs above all. Through all of 2004-2005, to maintain quality and meet budget reductions we have cut over 50% from program support budgets, eliminated positions and completely stopped our two-way video distance learning outreach program.

To maximize the benefit from every dollar we spend to educate our students, GSSM is dedicated to incorporating quality management principles and innovation along with public-private partnerships into every facet of our operation. We have come a long way in striving toward and reaching ever-higher standards for student achievement during the past fifteen years. We are looking forward to forging additional opportunities for bright, hard-working South Carolina students through completion of our school building.

As a small agency, we at GSSM regularly use internal staff work, Board review, and customer (parent, student and business) inputs to review and refine our vision and our mission. We set goals, define metrics, and reassess programs, policies and procedures. In order to best deploy our resources to meet our goals, we use a collegial committee structure involving both internal and external organizations, public and private. GSSM designs programs based on a challenging standards-based curriculum to meet identified needs.

The quality management principles detailed in this report have led to GSSM being recognized as one of the premier schools in the nation as measured by the success of graduates of our programs, both residential and outreach. These long-term successes have arisen from annual objectives with associated performance indicators. These indicators include: test scores and other academic measures; scholarships offered and accepted; comparisons with benchmark residential schools in other states; the extremely high recruiting priority universities place on our graduates; demand for our outreach services; and the support of business and industry in helping GSSM provide advanced educational opportunities. The steady increase in stature of the school has created the need to expand into purpose-built facilities.

GSSM is also now into its fifth year of integrating information developed in conjunction with the Education Oversight Committee (EOC) – the school report card system. We maintain excellence in all categories.

Thank you for your time regarding this report and I will be happy to provide additional information and ask that if you have any questions, please call me.

Sincerely,

Dr. Murray W. Brockman
President

Section I – Executive Summary

1. Mission and Values

Mission and Values Statement

South Carolina Governor’s School for Science & Mathematics

The purpose of the South Carolina Governor’s School for Science and Mathematics (GSSM) is to offer the most academically able students of this state a learning environment that strengthens their ability to think critically, stimulates the joy of learning, and fosters the excitement of discovery through scientific research.

GSSM broadens the horizons of the mind, expands intellectual and personal growth, and cultivates the uniqueness of each student. The School builds a strong sense of community among students, faculty and staff in a residential setting.

Emphasizing science, mathematics, and technology, the Governor’s School for Science and Mathematics is an educational resource, which serves the State of South Carolina as a model for academic excellence and provides a diverse range of outreach programs and partnerships.

2. Major Achievements from past year

Major Achievements, Status: FY 2004-2005 Accountability Report SC Governor's School for Science & Mathematics (GSSM)

Repeatedly recognized nationally for excellence, GSSM advances academic achievement throughout South Carolina by offering comprehensive residential and exemplary outreach programs for students and teachers.

S.C. Governor's School for Science & Mathematics: Metrics, Benchmarks & Highlights

GSSM RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM

- GSSM has earned **Palmetto Gold** with an **"Excellent"** rating in all categories of the SC Annual School Report Card since its inception.
- Our first-ever Vex **Robotics Team won First Place in Engineering** for most innovative design and placed **Third overall in international competition** held in Atlanta
- **51%** of graduates **attend college in S.C.** About **50%** of GSSM alumni **seek careers in S.C.**, working as teachers, doctors, scientists, engineers, computer scientists, and lawyers.
- Strong academic performance is a hallmark of GSSM students. In 2005, seniors led the state again with an **average SAT score of 1397** (The SC average was 989, and the national average was 1026). Twenty-one students have been recognized by the **Advanced Placement** program with distinctions ranging from Scholars to National Scholars.
- Eleven seniors were **National Merit Finalists** in 2005, leading the state (again). Three are **National Achievement Finalists**. There are **48 Palmetto Fellows**, and all 62 seniors qualify for the **Life Scholarship** offered by the state. The Class of 2005 received over **\$9.7 million** in scholarship monies.

- Our **nationally recognized mentored research program** for rising seniors, which is open to all South Carolina students and required for GSSM students, continues to establish new benchmarks. For the third consecutive year, a GSSM student, Chris Han, has been named as an **Intel Science Competition National Semifinalist**. **Five students** expect to have their research results published in prestigious **national scientific journals**. One **GSSM student** was among South Carolina's six representatives at the **Intel International Science and Engineering Fair** in Phoenix, Arizona this spring and received **fourth place in Botany**. Junior Ben Rosenberg has already distinguished himself by **performing research on laser propulsion systems in Tokyo** this summer. For the second year, a senior has received the **South Carolina GE Star Award** for academic excellence. Junior Boyd Lever earned **first place** in his division in the **Clemson BioMerit Bowl**.
- The **GSSM Math Team** continues to place strongly in the Mu Alpha Theta annual **national mathematics competition**, bringing home **Fourth Place in the nation**, this summer – **Our fifth consecutive top ten finish nationally**. This strong team took **First Place in the State** in the **American Mathematics Competition** and Senior Sebastian Zhang received recognition for the **highest score in South Carolina**. Senior Jonathan Fulton is a **National Semifinalist** in the **36th International Physics Olympiad**. Senior Holly Huitt received a **National Achievement Award in Writing** from the National Council of Teachers of English and junior Rose Tian is a **state winner** in the **Tribute/Discover Card Scholarship Competition** recognizing academic excellence and community service. Junior Alison Inglis is ranked **4th place nationally** in her division on the **National French Contest**.
- **Extracurricular activities** continue to shine. Students did a fantastic production of **Romeo and Juliet** in the fall, and the **GSSM Chorus' Spring Concert** was very well received. Three GSSM musicians won honors for Region Band. One junior won **best in state** in the 2005 **Junior Classical League Art Competition**. The **soccer team** finished **second in the state's Division I**, while the **tennis team** finished **4th in the state**. The **volleyball team** won the **Regional title** and the S.C. High School Sports League named Dr. Mark Godwin **Regional Coach of the Year**. Junior **Brian Brooks** placed **first** at the **state track meet** in the 1600 and 3200m relays. Senior **Jonathan Fulton** also won the **State Chess Championship**.

- **GSSM Faculty**, all holding **advanced degrees**, continue to receive significant recognition. Physics Instructor Dr. Mark Godwin was selected for a research and teaching fellowship at the prestigious **European Center for Nuclear Research** in Geneva. Mr. Randy LaCross, Director of the GSSM Center for Excellence, presented results from GSSM's Team GEAR Up program to the **National Council for Community and Educational Partnerships**. English Instructors Ike Coleman and Roy Flannagan each **published** this summer. Mr. Coleman's poetry appeared in Windhover and Dr. Flannagan's essays on Bret Easton Ellis and Mark Levner appeared in the Dictionary of Literary Biography. French Instructor, Dr. Lollie Eykyn was **one of five French teachers in S.C. to review examinations** required for state certification for new French teachers. New Mathematics Instructor, Dr. Murray Siegel, while serving as **Associate Editor of the Statistics Teachers' Network**, presented papers to scholarly conferences in Charleston, Phoenix, San Antonio, and Toronto, not to mention leading workshops for AP statistics teachers in St. Louis, MO, Dallas, TX, and Norman, OK.

GSSM CENTER FOR EXCELLENCE – STATEWIDE OUTREACH IMPROVING EDUCATION

- The **Summer Science Program** completed its **15th successful year** bringing 250 rising 9th and 10th graders from around the state together to study calculus, Java script, plant ecology, entomology, etc. The number of students **supported by scholarships** continues at nearly **30%**, thanks to generous support from the GSSM Foundation.
- **Project Gear-Up/Team Gear-Up**, a federal grant-funded program, prepares middle school students for success in high school and college. GSSM's role, providing student mentors in science labs, received **national recognition** and was extended funding for its sixth year of operation at GSSM.

GSSM FOUNDATION

- Our strong and active Foundation organizes the support of more than **210 businesses** from all across the state in support of **sustaining excellence at GSSM**. Donations to support program excellence increased by over \$150,000 in 2005.

3. Key Strategic Goals for Present and Future Years

This report reflects the goals of GSSM's programs and operations providing for the following:

- Maintain the excellence that is the hallmark of each GSSM program
- Use funds efficiently and effectively
- Complete GSSM's facilities: Fund Phase II construction & outfitting through Bond Bill

Strategic Goals

1. Continue and strengthen residential and outreach programs, enhancing excellence and meeting opportunities statewide
2. Occupy & operate Phase I of GSSM's New Campus – Accomplished & ongoing.
 - a. Reduce budget and allot funds necessary to operate Phase I - Accomplished
 - b. Finalize Phase 2 Funding - Pending Bond Bill Approval - Ongoing
 - c. Finalize Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment requirements and support appropriations request - Accomplished for Phase I – Pending for Phase II
3. Plan for and double the size of the student body and faculty while maintaining or improving quality and minority enrollment - Underway
 - a. Visit school districts – present the opportunity, hear feedback
 - b. Analyze alternatives – AP, IB, private schools, other. How are we unique?
 - c. Evaluate 10th grade admission – completed.
 - d. Use the expansion opportunity to review and upgrade curriculum (created Robotics/Engineering Course for 2004) - ongoing
 - e. Define enhanced math/computer science & technology offering(s)
 - f. Expand Open House days for recruitment information – Doubled in 2004.
4. Spearhead efforts toward leadership in South Carolina science and math education via our outreach programs - Underway
 - a. Uncover grant opportunities – especially in teacher training – Obtained five-year Federal GearUP Grant through CHE partnership starting in 2005-2006.
 - b. Expand summer research coordination with higher ed partners: 2005 saw addition of College of Charleston & MUSC
5. Enhance the profile of GSSM across the state - Underway
 - a. Establish role in SC state initiatives to improve science and math education
 - b. Establish role of parents in the school vision
 - c. Create targeted communications plan for internal and professional implementation – underway, implementation in 2005-2006
6. Continue to focus on the development of high character and exceptional skills in our students – Accomplished/Ongoing
 - a. Evaluate honor codes at other schools - underway
 - b. Review handbook and emphasize principles - completed

4. Opportunities and barriers that may affect the agency’s success in fulfilling its mission and achieving its strategic goals (This establishes the basis for the agency’s budget request).

Opportunities:

1. Phase I of New Campus opened and operating.
 - a. Partially completed state-of-the-art facilities for technology in education, mathematics, bioscience, computer science and engineering.
 - b. Facilities equal to quality of teachers/students in program and for greatly expanding outreach efforts in teacher professional development, recertification, standards-based instruction and quality research.
 - c. When complete with Phase II operational, GSSM’s new campus will provide a year-round “educational” resource center for South Carolina students and teachers.
2. Maintain and extend quality GSSM programs’ reach and depth to a “critical mass” of students and teachers, both residential and outreach.
 - a. When completed, GSSM’s new campus will have space for up to 300 of the state’s brightest and most-motivated students to participate in the intensive full immersion of our leading-edge residential program. This larger number will provide opportunities for even more students from every corner of South Carolina to participate.
 - b. Grant requests are researched and submitted to fund high-quality courses to enhance academic achievement statewide. GSSM developed and submitted during 2004-2005 a successful Federal Grant (GearUP) to teach middle school teachers in the sciences. , Following our philosophy of building partnerships in order to leverage resources, this grant is part of the larger program involving CHE, school districts, and the business community through the State Chamber of Commerce. Other grant opportunities are being developed.
3. Grow the knowledge economy and enhance quality of life throughout South Carolina by providing a home-grown supply of high-quality engineers, scientists, doctors, and other professionals who have a strong predilection to seek employment in South Carolina
4. Raise the pride and awareness South Carolinian’s have in their young scholars – by more extensively communicating the national and world-level achievements our students are producing through challenging GSSM programs – both residential and outreach.

Barriers 2004-2005:

1. Funding.
 - a. Maintain quality in existing programs. Continuing extreme State budget reductions during 2004-2005 cut deeply into GSSM's program budgets and exhausted all reserve areas. Sufficient quality could not be maintained without prioritizing and eliminating several core outreach services (two-way video distance learning for rural schools).
 - i. Mid-year state reductions, "sequester" and possible additional cuts..
 - ii. Other reductions and unfunded obligations in leased facilities bring total ongoing decrease to over 20%. Distance learning plans re-evaluated, eliminated in previous FY.
 - iii. New facility maintenance & utilities issues moving into 2005-2006
 - iv. Uncertainty in budgeting and planning due to possibility, but not certainty, of receiving unclaimed lottery support
 - b. Operating in partially completed facilities – without essential classroom/laboratory wing and student activity wing.
 - i. Phase II Construction Funds (\$13,178,693 est. for 2006 bidding) sought to build the quality laboratories and classrooms planned for the new campus. This facility is the "heart" of GSSM's academic programs. (See next page diagram.)
 - ii. Furniture, Fixture and Equipment (FFE) funds (\$2.6M needed for Phase II) to effectively outfit Phase I and Phase II.
 - iii. Operating increases sought to:
 1. Maintain high tech facilities - Fire, security, HVAC systems
2003-04 (First-year) under warranty, following years (2004-2005 and beyond) requires funds to cover maintenance & support contracts.
 2. Operate advanced programs on greatly reduced program budgets
 3. Increase student body size - staged growth from 128 to max. 300
 4. Increase faculty size to support increased student body
 - iv. Hiring new faculty and staff for expansion with adequate lead time
 - c. Additional costs in construction, facilities and recruitment momentum due to delay of complete funding of GSSM's new campus (Phase II and FFE requirements).
 - i. Construction inflation estimated at up to 12.5% for each year of delay.
 - ii. Lease costs for replacing classrooms and laboratories not available until Phase II is constructed. Negotiated with Coker College to lease chemistry laboratories unavailable in Phase I of GSSM's new campus – un-recovered cost: \$45,000 annually. Inability of funding building completion during 2004-2005 requires
-re-negotiation of chemistry laboratory lease during 2005-2006.
-additional 12.5% construction funds due to steel and raw material increases
2. Misperception that science and mathematics are not a state education priority – that South Carolina's economic future does not rest on a highly sophisticated knowledge economy.

5. How the accountability report is used to improve organizational performance. Review and compilation by senior staff challenges assumption about current and future priorities, services, allocation of resources, necessity for measurement and testing validity of data.

Section II – Business Overview

1. Number of Employees: Full-Time State: 30.00

2. Operations location(s)

Primary facilities: GSSM's partially completed new campus, Phase I – opened August 2003. Even in the face of severe and ongoing budget reductions, GSSM successfully re-located - lock, stock and barrel - from previous all-leased facilities to our new “purpose-built” Campus.

- Student support and office space
- Temporary classrooms
- Temporary laboratories (except chemistry, see below)
- Dormitory facilities
- Dining facilities

Secondary Facilities: Leased chemistry laboratories from Coker College and athletic gym/courts not available in Phase I: \$45,000 per year lease.

GSSM in 2004-2005 continued successful operations of Phase I building – following its opening in 2003. After years of planning, GSSM successfully completed construction and outfitting of Phase I: detailing and purchasing all new systems including phone, fiber-optic network, cabling, dining hall equipment, dormitory furniture, trash cans, alarm systems, computerized zoned door locks using magnetic swipe cards, security including camera systems, maintenance, laboratory furniture and equipment, IT, packing and moving.

Future Facilities Required: Complete Master Campus Plan by building Phase II (\$13,178,693 estimate. for 2006 bidding), \$2,600,000 for outfitting and technology). Phase II contains specialized laboratories, classrooms and student activity space. Timeline for opening Phase II: 30 months after funding is secured. Expansion of student body cannot occur until Phase II is built. Student body growth cannot occur until Phase II is built and operational.

The complete master campus (shown in rendering next page) includes both Phase I and Phase II.

South Carolina Governor's School for Science & Mathematics: Master Campus Plan - Phase I & Phase II

Phase I: Opened in August 2003

- Residential Space for up to 300 Students
- Dining Facilities
- Counseling, Student Support Facilities - Temporary Classrooms
- Faculty and Support Staff Offices

Phase II: Waiting on Funding...

- Academic Center:
 - Advanced Classrooms
 - Advanced Laboratories
- Student Activities Center



#3. Expenditures/appropriations chart

Base Budget Expenditures and Appropriations

Major Budget Categories	03-04 Actual Expenditures		04-05 Actual Expenditures		05-06 Appropriations Act	
	Total Funds	General Funds	Total Funds	General Funds	Total Funds	General Funds
Personal Service	\$1,717,274	\$1,473,774	\$1,632,474	\$1,400,774	\$1,616,365	\$1,520,365
Other Operating	\$1,383,672	\$896,672	\$1,682,172	\$870,816	\$2,066,719	\$1,479,020
Special Items	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Permanent Improvements	\$2,626,808	\$	\$66,000	\$	\$34,000	\$
Case Services	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Distributions to Subdivisions	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Fringe Benefits	\$415,974	\$399,174	\$489,832	\$437,974	\$484,910	\$456,109
Non-recurring	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$6,143,728	\$2,769,620*	\$3,870,478	\$2,709,564	\$4,201,994	\$3,455,494*

*Includes \$150,000 earmarked & required for Master Lease payment for FF&E

**Additional unclaimed lottery expenditures of \$202,500, 04-05

Other Expenditures

Sources of Funds	03-04 Actual Expenditures	04-05 Actual Expenditures
Supplemental Bills	\$	\$
Capital Reserve Funds	\$	\$
Bonds	\$	\$

Section II Continued...Business Overview #4

Major Program Areas

v2

Program Number and Title	Major Program Area Purpose (Brief)	FY 03-04 Budget Expenditures	FY 04-05 Budget Expenditures	Key Cross References for Financial Results*
#1 (813)	Academic Instruction	State: 1,274,025 Federal: Other: 120,000 Total: 1,394,025 % of Total Budget: 41%	State: 1,230,460 Federal: Other: 102,000 Total: 1,332,460 % of Total Budget: 39%	EOC Report Card (7.1a, page 27) SAT averages (7.2, p.31) Faculty Qualifications see above EOC Report Card
#2 (814)	Life in Residence	State: 1,274,025 Federal: Other: 100,000 Total: 1,374,025 % of Total Budget: 40%	State: 1,168,531 Federal: Other: 132,500 Total: 1,301,031 % of Total Budget: 38%	EOC Report Card (7.1a, p27) Major Achievements p 5-6 Program Demand, p. 31.
#3 (815)	Statewide Outreach	State: 74,000 Federal: 40,000 Other: 296,000 Total: 410,000 % of Total Budget: 12%	State: 193,484 Federal: 41,250 Other: 358,794 Total: 593,528 % of Total Budget: 17%	Major Achievements p 5-6 Program Demand p. 31 Partnerships, p 33
		State: Federal: Other: Total: % of Total Budget:	State: Federal: Other: Total: % of Total Budget:	
		State: Federal: Other: Total: % of Total Budget:	State: Federal: Other: Total: % of Total Budget:	

Below: List any programs not included above and show the remainder of expenditures by source of funds.

a) Administrative Support: (detailed for 03-04 match AI categories)

Remainder of Expenditures	FY 03-04	FY 04-05
State:	214,089	217,089
Federal:	0	0
Other:	10,500	10,550
Total:	224,589	227,639
% of Total Budget:	6%	7%

* Key Cross-References are a link to the Category 7 - Business Results. These References provide a Chart number that is included in the 7th section of this document.

5. Key Customers

“CUSTOMER”	“PRODUCT” CONSUMED	KEY NEEDS	METRICS
Residential Students, Grades 11-12	Advanced coursework, Full-immersion residential program	Advanced curriculum, Flexible offerings, College preparation, Faculty w. adv. degrees	College admissions, Adv. placement, Scholarship offers, Subject mastery
Middle & High School Students (7 th grade, 9/10 & 11/12)	GearUP Saturday Academies -Summer Science Program -Summer Program for Research Interns (Mentored Research)	Advanced curriculum, broad-based offerings, capable and flexible instructors,	Number of students, number of schools served, number of disadvantaged schools served
Parents	Students’ education and character development, Student safety and well- being, support	Adv. Curriculum, Student Community service, security	College placement, scholarship offers, safety/security metrics
South Carolina Science and Math teachers	-Support of academic achievement, standards, menu of opportunities in science & math for students Non-standard certification, Recertification, content development	Advanced course content, student content programs, certified courses, instruction assistance	Number of teachers and students participating and supported
South Carolina school principals and superintendents	-Enhance & advance interest and achievement in science/math tracks, -Opportunities for teachers & students -Comparative benchmark for curriculum offerings	-Recognition of their essential role in a student’s development and achievement -Data to enhance reporting requirements	Return of SAT scores, other tracking indicators, inclusion in announcements
South Carolina colleges and universities	Advanced students	Highly prepared, able and motivated students	Attendance in SC col. and univ.
SC business community	-Advanced college grads -Enhanced State reputation for academics to help recruit/retain professionals.	In-state grads	Graduates employed in state
SC general public	-Advanced college grads -Pride in academic success of our high school students	-Advancing economy -Enhance quality of life	-Enhance knowledge economy -Perception of pride in education

6. Key Stakeholders:
 - i. S.C. Schools and School Districts provide students, teachers, partnerships and support.
 - ii. S.C. Universities rely on GSSM for talented science and mathematics students
 - iii. S.C. parents provide students and form a key partnership for success
 - iv. S.C. businesses rely on GSSM to develop science and engineering talent

7. Key Suppliers (viewed also as partners & stakeholders)
 - 1) S.C. Schools and School Districts provide students, teachers, partnerships and support.
 - 2) S.C. Universities provide GSSM with instructors, mentors, and other resources.
 - 3) Coker College: Provides majority of leased facilities (chemistry labs, limited athletic fields/courts, gymnasium)
 - 4) S.C. parents provide students and form a key partnership for success
 - 5) State Engineer's office provides expertise for capital construction
 - 6) State offices available to us and other state agencies, e.g. State Budget and Control Board for management support (procurement, capital projects), State Department of Education for personnel/finance administration, etc.

8. Description of major products and services


Provide advanced and challenging academic courses and experiences, with an emphasis on science, mathematics and technology, to students and teachers in South Carolina. GSSM features two distinct, yet complimentary, program areas: a full-time Residential Program for 11th and 12th graders and statewide Outreach Programs from elementary through high school.

9. Organizational Structure

GSSM utilizes a “tiered” organization structure, but with open communication between all levels. (See attached organizational chart.) Our governing Board of Trustees, with a school president and four vice-presidents responsible for primary functional areas, lead GSSM's structure. Resource responsibility and authority (both personnel and financial) is placed in the hands of those working most closely with our key customers.

To leverage resources and results, GSSM focuses on a “partnership” model; designing and building programs based on identified needs and shared resources.

S.C. Governor's School for Science & Mathematics - December, 2004



Section III – Elements of Malcolm Baldrige Award Criteria

Category 1 – Leadership, communication...

1. How do senior leaders set, deploy and communicate:

a) Short and long term direction?

GSSM takes advantage of the small size of the organization to involve all members of faculty and staff in setting long and short-term direction. The Board of Trustees and key customers, including students and parents, are also critical components of the decision-making process.

Faculty and staff are consulted monthly via a “President’s Report.” Senior staff participates in ongoing strategic planning efforts and meet weekly to discuss management and policy issues. The Board receives regular updates in writing, and Board committees take an active role in review and setting direction for specific areas of school administration, including Facilities, Personnel, Organizational Enhancement, and Distance Learning. Parents are consulted through GSSM’s Parents Advisory Council (PAC). The PAC provides regular updates on school issues, accomplishments, and changes. Students participate in direction setting via weekly Student Council meetings. The Chair of the Student Council meets regularly with the President. All parents and legislators receive the annual School Report Card, which indicates strategic direction as well as results.

b) Performance expectations

GSSM uses both the state Employee Performance Management System (EPMS) and a specialized faculty evaluation instrument. In addition, specific expectations are set with teaching faculty regarding student achievement via one-on-one discussions with the Academic Vice President.

c) Organizational Values

Values are communicated by our Vision and Mission statements, which are reviewed annually. In addition, faculty, staff and students regularly meet in a context where values are emphasized and openly discussed.

d) Empowerment and Innovation

Because of the small size of the agency, the President and all senior administrators maintain an open door policy, encouraging the free flow of ideas and empowering all staff and students to implement ideas with minimal barriers and appropriate oversight.

e) Organizational and employee learning

All faculty members belong to at least one professional organization, and several are or have been officers of national organizations. All faculty members undertake professional development annually. Staff personnel receive training whenever new programs or technology are researched and/or implemented.

f) Ethical behavior and quality character development

Faculty, staff, and students participate in annual reviews of the Student Handbook. Faculty and students participate in the Honor Council. Faculty and staff participate in the Judicial Council. Awareness and instruction into “ethics” was made a focal point for all of GSSM, with required summer reading of the book *How Good People Make Tough Choices* leading to incorporation into activities and school seminars.

Leadership 2. How do senior leaders establish and promote a focus on customers?

All senior staff members serve as advisors, club or sport sponsors or teach at some point during the school year. In addition, they work directly with GSSM program partners and participants.

Gauging satisfaction for customers involves demand for GSSM programs and demonstrable achievements during their participation. Examples include: scholarships offered, continued academic participation and success measurements such as SAT scores, acceptance rates into future quality academic programs and being implemented this school year a student satisfaction survey. For teachers, development of and participation in programs, and use of improved skills and knowledge in the classroom to enhance student achievement measure satisfaction.

Leadership 3. How do senior leaders maintain fiscal, legal and regulatory accountability?

GSSM leadership maintains fiscal, legal and regulatory accountability through a series of authorization chains, reviews and balances. These include:

- Oversight and advice from an active Board of Trustees
- Annual audit through State Department of Education (SDE) which serves as GSSM’s fiscal agent (payroll, purchasing, personnel, benefits, state chart of accounts).
- Ongoing authorization, reporting protocols and review from supervisory agencies including:
 - State Engineer’s Office (New Campus Planning & construction)
 - SDE’s School Planning and Construction (New Campus Planning/construction)
 - State and local Fire Marshall’s and safety inspections
 - BCB Materials Management (Procurement, bids, contracts)
 - BCB Capital Projects (Permanent Improvements, Bond)
 - BCB Budget Office (Reports, Legislative Allocations)
 - State Activity Inventory report
 - State Treasurer’s Office (Master Lease Program)
 - Hiring legal support on an “as-needed” basis
 - Internal priority identification and requisition systems.
 - Ongoing reviews of priorities, actions and results

Leadership 4. What key performance measures do your senior leaders regularly review?

Performance metrics are listed in section 7 and include application information, demographic and grade data, test results, discipline and program participation results.

Leadership 5. How do senior leaders use organizational performance review findings and employee feedback to improve their own leadership effectiveness and the effectiveness of management throughout the organization?

The small size of the agency permits regular informal and formal feedback at and around all levels of the organization. Students fill out feedback forms at the end of each semester, and classes are regularly audited.

Leadership 6. How does the organization address the current and potential impact of its products, programs, services, facilities, and operations, including associated risks?

We survey parents and students annually, collect achievement data (test scores, scholarships) and track alumni.

Leadership 7. How does senior leadership set and communicate key organizational priorities for improvement? Includes weekly and monthly scheduled meetings, plus formal and informal planning process which involves all areas of institution. Priorities for improvement are part of short-range direction included in Section III.1.

Leadership 8. How does senior leadership and the agency actively support and strengthen the community?

Senior staff are all members of key civic organizations and regularly interact with local elected officials. Staff and faculty are encouraged and serve on community committees serving local non-profits and economic development areas. Students must each provide 83 hours of work service annually, of which about 25% is outside the school in the community.

Category 2 – Strategic Planning

Strategic Planning at GSSM is an on-going process with formal committee structures created within and between divisions (and Board of Trustees) and informal discussions and recommendations solicited and welcomed at any time. Formal review and planning sessions are scheduled at the beginning and end of each school year. A major review of our strategic goal was conducted during 2004-2005 with approval and implementation planned for 2005-2006.

Strategic Planning

Program Number and Title	Supported Agency Strategic Planning Goal/Objective	Related FY 04-05 Key Agency Action Plan/Initiative(s)	Key Cross References for Performance Measures*
1) Academic /Instruction	Maintain Excellence -Operate effectively under reduced budgets and in partially completed facilities	Faculty/Student Ratio, Highly Qualified Teachers, Test Score Results, AP Test Results, SAT Averages	EOC Report Card (7.1a, p27) -SAT Average (7.2a p. 31) -Faculty qualifications EOC Report Card (7.1a, p27)
2) Life in Residence	Maintain Excellence: including quality of College applications and acceptances, support social learning and adjustments, support ethics and good citizenship and -operate effectively under reduced budgets and in partially completed facilities	-Conduct College application process -Conduct Life & Leisure -Conduct wellness model initiatives	In-state College Attendance by Graduates, (7.1a, p307.) -Program Demand (application range), (7.2b, p. 32) - EOC report Card Data and metrics EOC Report Card (7.1a, p27)
3) Statewide Outreach - Academic Advancement	Provide Advanced Science Opportunities for 7th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th and 12 graders. Support Professional Development for teachers. -Operate effectively under reduced budgets and in partially completed facilities	-Leverage partnerships for effectiveness -Continue GearUp academies for hundreds of low-income 7th graders throughout South Carolina. -Conduct Summer Science Program for 9th & 10th graders at GSSM's New Campus. Continue acclaimed SPRI advanced mentored research program for rising 12th graders. -Conduct at new campus professional development workshops in advanced digital instruction for teachers.	SSP Attendance (7.2b, p. 32) -SPRI Mentor Partners, (7.2a. p 32) GearUp Participation (7.2c p. 33)
4) Administrative	-Operate effectively under reduced budgets and in partially completed facilities. Complete Unfinished Master Campus Plan: seek funds to build Phase II (Academic Center & Student Activity Center). -Seek restoration of operating funds, monitor unclaimed lottery fund possibility, delaying expenditures appropriately while maintaining quality outcomes	-Carefully monitor budgets and potential of unclaimed lottery support in order to a) maintain quality outcomes and b) to prevent running a deficit -Operate new partially complete facilities to support program missions. -Monitor costs carefully. -Validate all operational systems, identify and correct all problem areas. -Design moving plans and implement. Manage budget reductions and maintain quality in core programs.	EOC report Card EOC Report Card (7.1a, p27. - Systems specified, procured and operational. (7.2.e, p34)

1. What is your Strategic Planning process, including KEY participants, and how does it account for:
 - a. Customer needs and expectations: Identification (parents, students, teachers, educational partners, prospective candidates for programs), data gathering and review (interviews, surveys, and review of metric benchmarks including applications, test scores, scholarship awards and growth into further advanced educational settings).
 - b. Financial, regulatory, societal and other potential risks: GSSM conducts comparative analysis of similar institutions on a regular and ongoing basis.
 - c. Human resource capabilities and needs. GSSM conducts formal and informal comparative analysis of similar institutions on a regular and ongoing basis.
 - d. Operational capabilities and needs. GSSM conducts formal and informal comparative analysis of similar institutions on a regular and ongoing basis and weekly reviews short term results and needs. Consult with other agencies and supervisory agencies regularly.
 - e. Supplier/contractor/partner capabilities and needs. GSSM collects and reviews feedback from suppliers and partners on quality of current and potential for future programs.

Administration conducts detailed strategic planning process involving all functional areas and input/direction from GSSM Board of Trustees. This process was ongoing in 2004-2005 with final review and implementation set for 2005-2006.

2. What are your key strategic objectives? (Address in Strategic Planning Chart). See Section I.3 and Strategic Planning Chart.
3. How do you develop and track action plans that address your key strategic objectives? Note: Include how you allocate resources to ensure accomplishment of your action plans. GSSM develops priorities on an ongoing basis with regular internal meetings and a formal process with our Board of Trustees. Metrics are identified, tracked and reviewed. See EOC Report Card.
4. What are your key action plans/initiatives? See Section I.3 and Strategic Planning Chart.
5. How do you communicate and deploy your strategic objectives, action plans and performance measures?

Through Board reports, monthly reports to all Faculty/Staff and administrative reports such as the State Budget Request, State Activity Inventory and this report.

Category 3 – Customer Focus

GSSM key “customers” are the students and teachers of South Carolina – and our partners in education and industry. GSSM concentrates on “raising the bar” of academic achievement: challenging and motivating students to take advanced courses and put in the hard work necessary to successfully complete the curriculum. There is no grade inflation, but merit based on achievement. We believe South Carolina’s future economic prosperity requires students well versed in science and mathematics supported by an excellent foundation in the humanities. These are the courses necessary for entry and success into higher education, as a prerequisite for professional success. GSSM is a leader in both setting achievement standards and integrating “education standards” into modules for students and teachers.

1. How do you determine who your customers are and what their key requirements are? Our mission directs us toward defining specific customer groups. Ongoing communication, formal and informal, with stakeholders, educational partners and current participants identifies key requirements.
2. How do you keep your listening and learning methods current with changing customer/business needs? Ongoing communication, formal and informal, with stakeholders, educational partners and current participants informs our methods. Our change to email communication with Parents is one example – both an internal, technological and societal change.
3. How do you use information from customers/stakeholders to keep services or programs relevant and provide for continuous improvement? Weekly, monthly and annual formal reviews are ongoing, plus staged planning.
4. How do you measure customer/stakeholder satisfaction? Personal conversations, surveys, test scores, graduation rates, scholarships offered and accepted, applications, drop-out rates are all included. Please see EOC School Report Card for additional categories.

Category 4 – Measurement, Analysis and Knowledge Management

1. How do you decide which operations, processes and systems to measure?

The decision on which operations, processes and systems to measure comes from internal and external review and recommendations. Internally, GSSM tracks student achievement on many levels including scholarships earned and recruitment by colleges and universities. Externally, GSSM works with educational organizations setting “report card” standards and other criteria.

2. What are your key measures: These include: test scores, graduation rates, scholarships offered and accepted, applications, drop-out rates, faculty retention and turnover. Please see Section III, Category 7 – Business Results portion of this report for a comprehensive list.
3. How do you ensure data quality, reliability, completeness and availability for decision-making?

GSSM reviews and assesses the validity of both data collection devices (standardized tests, internal tests) and the results based on comparisons with other states and comparative institution results (such as classwork, class test results vs. standardized tests, etc.).

4. How do you use data/information analysis to provide effective support for decision-making?

In addition to the required statewide reporting under educational accountability (report cards), GSSM uses internal data collections to both identify possible measurements and to collect the data. GSSM also collects and compares data with similar programs in the state, region, and nation.

5. How do you select and use comparative data and information?

Internal discussions on the type, source, validity and applicability of data lead to selection, rejection or a process to collect additional data or change methodology of collection. Budget decisions are based on directing appropriate resources to priority areas.

6. How do you manage organization knowledge?

GSSM staff, during formal and informal weekly, monthly and annual meetings share relevant issues, data, results and plans between departments. This includes sharing comprehensive Board reports between functional areas with each administrative director.

Category 5 – Human Resource Focus

1. How do you and your managers/supervisors encourage and motivate employees (formally and/or informally) to develop and utilize their full potential?

GSSM implements a collegial process of high expectations for employees, bolstered by on-going communications both formal (assigned committees on topics, evaluations) and informal meetings.

2. How do you identify and address key developmental and training needs, including job skills training, performance excellence training, diversity training, management/leadership development, new employee orientation and safety training?

GSSM supports continuing education for employees and has implemented a grant-supported Professional Staff Training and Development program for faculty.

3. How does your employee performance management system, including feedback to and from employees, support high performance?

GSSM utilizes ongoing daily communications, EPMS and internal teacher evaluation systems to formalize communications and both identify priority work issues and also clarify results and expectations.

4. What formal and/or informal assessment methods and measures do you use to determine employee well being, satisfaction and motivation?

Being a small agency with open lines of communication, GSSM staff is in constant communication with one another, so problems are quickly identified. Formally, we also use EPMS and our teacher evaluation system, plus turnover rates, which have been low, compared to similar schools by position.

5. How do you maintain a safe and healthy work environment?

Constant communication is foremost method with immediate follow-up to any safety issue, plus formal reviews are conducted as required. A number of safety procedures are also in place and practiced, including: Fire Alarm drills and system testing, visitor sign-in procedures, swipe-card area zones, “Go to a Safe Place” procedure and drills and “Evacuation” procedures and drills. GSSM employs a part-time nurse and has a faculty member designated as science safety officer.

6. What is the extent of your involvement in the community?

GSSM provides and manages student work service throughout the Hartsville community. In addition, staff members are active in charity, church and service organizations. Formally, GSSM works with local schools including a community-service tutoring program for elementary schools using GSSM students as mentors/tutors. GSSM is active in local government planning initiatives (both city and county) and economic development initiatives (local and statewide).

Category 6 – Process Management

1. What are your key support processes that produce, create or add value for your customers and your organization and how do they contribute to success?

We set clear and high expectations and provide the time and quality support necessary for achievement.

GSSM focuses on providing highly qualified instructors the time, materials and support they need to spend time teaching motivated and qualified students. The result is demonstrable achievement in academics, which is the key to future success.

For Life in Residence, there is an emphasis on the “wellness model” for student support services – a comprehensive approach to allocate departmental and institutional resources - was developed through our strategic planning processes.

In statewide outreach programs, including our GearUP middle school initiative, Summer Science program for 9th and 10th graders and our research and development of new campus plans for GSSM and what resources are needed to properly serve the students and teachers throughout South Carolina.

GSSM’s state budget requests and private fund-raising activities are based on strategic goals, measurement of current programs and customer demand.

2. How do you incorporate organizational knowledge, new technology...into process design and delivery?

Informal and formal (committee) communications processes are another key support process. In addition to EOC report card information, GSSM uses an internal “GSSM by-the-numbers” document to capture data pertaining to programs. Data is collected and analyzed annually, as part of our accountability report process.

Strategic planning, as discussed above, results in GSSM focusing programmatic dollars and time (personnel) resources to accomplish specific results.

The nature of our product is the inherent human trait of students and teachers to want to know more about the world around them, and develop this natural inquisitiveness into academic and scientific rigor. Our products and services, from classroom to residential, outreach to expectations, are designed to encourage disciplined exploration and discovery, while incorporating skills of communications and citizenship. This creates a learning community, which challenges individuals but rewards participation and results.

The “process” is based on teaming an “expert” with content knowledge and communication expertise in a specific area (discipline) with students in an environment where expectations are high. Technology is sought to enhance communication, feedback, streamline repetitive tasks and offer alternative learning methods. Novel advances in technology are themselves subject to inclusion in the curriculum and are regularly sought out by faculty members in consultation with our industry and university partners.

3. How does your day-to-day operations of key production/delivery processes ensure meeting key performance requirements?

Daily interaction allows review of short and long-term goal accomplishment (or delays). We keep class and dormitory attendance rolls and quickly follow-up any absences by students.

4. What are your key support processes? Unique to GSSM is the highly specialized knowledge of a scientist-instructor being conveyed to a motivated student by ensuring ample quality time for instruction. For example, GSSM has lab periods of several hours in length regularly scheduled as necessary to complete advanced experiments.

Support processes emphasize such interaction where learning occurs by eliminating extraneous and burdensome activities, which detract from quality time, spent teaching. For example, streamlined purchasing request processes and ongoing prioritization of needs.

5. How do you manage and support your key supplier/contractor/partner interactions and processes to improve performance?

Weekly, sometimes daily, communications and/or meetings are held with key suppliers (SDE, contractual providers) and partners (receiving school sites, partner agencies). Annual reviews are conducted.

Category 7 – Business Results

1. What are your performance levels and trends for the key measures of customer satisfaction?

Two sides of One Coin: GSSM’s Residential and Statewide Outreach/Academic Advancement

GSSM designs and operates all programs to support one another and offer “steps” of greater involvement and learning appropriate to the individual student or organization. All staff and faculty at GSSM participate in and support both the residential and outreach programs of the school.

It is difficult to differentiate our “residential” program from Statewide Outreach & Academic Enrichment when acceptance as a residential student is a source of pride and feedback to the home school the student originally attended. The availability of GSSM programs encourages students, teachers and schools to participate in and develop challenging courses.

The GSSM residential program, for report purposes, can be subdivided into two equally supportive branches: Academic and Life in Residence. Both areas are critical to success at GSSM and are therefore interdependent.

Key Performance Measurements:

A primary measure of GSSM customer satisfaction is reflected in the school’s ongoing Report Card rating =

EXCELLENT	PERFORMANCE LEVEL
EXCELLENT	IMPROVEMENT RATE

This measurement captures a wide-range of data and comparatives and was designed in conjunction with the Education Oversight Committee. The 2004-2005 report card data listed on the next two pages is a final draft submitted to EOC, but minor changes and that group’s assessment will be included prior to its official release.

**SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR’S SCHOOL FOR
SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS**

401 Railroad Avenue
Hartsville, SC 29550

GRADES	11 – 12	
ENROLLMENT	128 students	
PRESIDENT	Dr. Murray W. Brockman	843-383-3900
BOARD CHAIR	Dr. Raymond S. Greenberg	843-792-2211

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Annual School Report Card	2005
--------------------------------------	-------------

ABSOLUTE RATING:	EXCELLENT
-------------------------	------------------

The school's performance substantially exceeded the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

IMPROVEMENT RATING:	EXCELLENT
----------------------------	------------------

The school's improvement rating was excellent despite absolute ratings of excellent for two subsequent years, because the improvement index for all students was a positive number.

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS:	YES
----------------------------------	------------

This school made adequate yearly progress.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

**FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:
WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG**

SOUTH CAROLINA GOVERNOR’S SCHOOL FOR SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	NA	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

PERFORMANCE Data

Advanced placement (AP) Pass Rate	87.9%
Graduates’ College Freshman GPA (In-state Colleges)	3.76
SAT Average (High Verbal/High Math)	1397

AP Test Score Distribution

Score	5	4	3	2	1	Total
# of students (%)		58(21%)	101(36%)		88(31%)	30(11%) 4(1%) 281(100%)

College Freshman GPA

GPA	3.5-4.0	3.3-3.5	3.1-3.3	2.9-3.1	<2.9	Total
# of Students (%)		22(73%)	2(7%)	1(3%)	1(3%)	4(13%) 30(100%)

SAT Scores

	Middle 50%		Range	
SAT Verbal	650	720	510	780
SAT Math	660	780	570	800

A Special Note on Performance

Every student at Governor’s School completes a research course requirement that is a distinctive learning and maturing experience. Senior research begins with at least six full weeks of research in the summer following the junior year. Most of the students conduct their research at university or industrial research facilities under the mentorship of professional scientists or engineers. Students work with their research mentors and Governor’s School advisors during the senior year to compile and analyze their research findings, prepare formal research papers, and present their work at a school-sponsored colloquium and at the SC Junior Academy of Science annual meeting.

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

SCHOOL PROFILE

INDICATORS OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

	Our School	Change from Last Year
STUDENTS (n=127)		
❖ Retention rate	0	Same
❖ Attendance rate	99.1%	Same
❖ With disabilities other than speech	0	Same
❖ Older than usual for grade	0	Same
❖ Out-of-of school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	N/A	Same
❖ Annual dropout rate	N/A	Same
❖ Students in residence	128	Up from 127
❖ National Achievement Semifinalists/Finalists	50%/50%	Up from 38%/38%
❖ Seniors Completing Science Research Project	100%	Same
❖ Community Service-hr./student	83	Same
❖ Graduating attending college	100%	Same
❖ Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarship	100%	Same
❖ Total scholarship offered	\$9,712,921	Up fr \$7,517,548
❖ Number of seniors	62	Up from 58
❖ National Merit Semifinalists/Finalists	16%/16%	Down from 20%/20%
TEACHERS (n=14)		
❖ Teachers with advanced degrees	100%	Same
❖ Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/C
❖ Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	N/A	N/C
❖ Teachers returning from previous year	93%	Same
❖ Teacher attendance rate	98.1%	Down from 98.4%
❖ Average salary	\$55,649	Up from \$52,835
❖ Professional development days per teacher	6	Same
❖ Teachers with Doctorates	79%	Same
❖ Years teaching experience-middle 50%	13 to 31	Chg from 14 to 30
SCHOOL		
❖ President's years at the school	4	Up from 3
❖ Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	10 to 1	Same
❖ Prime instructional time	97.2%	Down from 97.3%
❖ Dollars spent per pupil	\$12,958 ^a	Up from \$11,920
❖ Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries	60.8%	N/A ^b
❖ Opportunities in the arts	NA	Same
❖ Parents attending conferences	38.5%	Down from 49.2%
❖ SACS accreditation	N/A	N/A
❖ University/Industrial Partners in Research Mentor Program	16	Up from 14
❖ Mentors in Research Program	70	Up from 62
❖ Student clubs and organizations	39	Up from 34
❖ Students active in clubs/organizations	99%	Down from 100%
❖ Varsity sports teams	9	Same
❖ Students participating in varsity sports	82%	Up from 73%
❖ Students returning to home high school	3.9%	Down from 6.2%
Outreach to other schools:		
❖ Middle school students in Saturday Academies	685	Up from 461
❖ Students in Summer Science Program (SSP)	259	Up from 245
❖ University Partners in SSP	9	Down from 11
❖ 6 week summer research positions for other HS	13	Down from 17

^a Costs for academic program including summer research, but not residential life.

^b N/A due to change in method of computation beginning with the 2004-2005 year to make this item consistent with dollars per student cost item. See footnote a.

REPORT OF PRESIDENT

From its founding in 1988 by a visionary Act of the General Assembly on the initiative of Governor Carroll Campbell, GSSM has served as a portal through which talented students from throughout South Carolina have embarked on a lifetime of exploration, discovery and growth. The mission of this unique school emphasizes developing the scientifically astute and ethically aware leaders needed for our expanding knowledge-based economy. This year, we concentrated on preparing to complete our new campus while continuing to celebrate the accomplishments of our students and faculty.

GSSM's curriculum is second to none in the state in its scope, depth and challenge, not only in science and math, but also in the humanities. Our students continue to perform at the highest levels in both our Residential and Outreach programs, with minor annual variations, reflecting the individual talents of these remarkable young people. The significant achievements of the students in residence in Hartsville (1397 average SAT, highest in the state; \$9.7 million in scholarship offers to 62 seniors; the average student completed more than four Advanced Placement tests, with an 88% pass rate) are crowned by their completion of a mentored individual research project at one of South Carolina's research universities or industrial laboratories.

Appropriate emphasis on extracurricular activities for all students (82% participated in varsity sports) continues to build strong school spirit. The soccer, volleyball, cross-country, tennis and swim teams advanced to the state playoffs, and the math team finished fourth in the nation.

Students serve their community and school by working 83 hours each year, supplementing instruction on ethics and character development. Perhaps best of all, students report great satisfaction from forming "friendships for life".

GSSM's Outreach is charged with sharing exemplary programs statewide to improve science achievement. Team GEAR UP provided standards-based science instruction for disadvantaged middle schoolers across the state, and our Summer Science Program encouraged 9th and 10th graders to explore "real" science, math and computer science.

The move to our new campus provides a highly visible affirmation of South Carolina's commitment to excellence in education. When funds permit, we will complete the campus and enter a new phase of growth, doubling our enrollment and revamping our curriculum in advanced technology.

Murray W. Brockman, President

EVALUATIONS BY STUDENTS, TEACHERS, AND PARENTS

	Students*	Teachers	Parents*
--	-----------	----------	----------

Number of surveys returned			
----------------------------	--	--	--

Satisfied with learning environment			
-------------------------------------	--	--	--

Satisfied with social and physical environment			
--	--	--	--

Satisfied with home-school relations			
--------------------------------------	--	--	--

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Unsatisfactory – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

DEFINITIONS OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.

**11TH grade students and parents were surveyed.*

2. What are your performance levels and trends for the key measures of mission accomplishment?

In addition to the above Report Card information, several breakout areas reflect GSSM performance:

Academic Residential School:

Quality of Academic Program: •Average SAT score for class of 2005 = **1397**. Trend over time – consistent (2004=1389). (Benchmark Comparison: State HS Average, Higher Education Freshman SAT averages.)

Program Demand: •Qualified applicants continue to outnumber openings in the range of **3** applications for every **1** opening.

GSSM Graduates Attending In-State/Out-of-State Colleges & Universities. GSSM encourages students to attend in-state universities by promoting campus visits, conducting visits to in-state major educational institutions and inviting/encouraging seminars, classes and courses taught by in-state university faculty members. We are encouraged by the efforts in-state schools are making to recruit our graduates. Trend over time - about 50/50, some fluctuations.

Year In-State% Out-of-State%: Benchmark: exceeds similar school's in-state rate.

<u>Year</u>	<u>In-State%</u>	<u>Out-of-State%</u>
<u>2004-2005</u>	<u>51%</u>	<u>49%</u>
<u>2003-2004</u>	<u>51%</u>	<u>49%</u>
<u>2002-2003</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>
<u>2001-2002</u>	<u>63%</u>	<u>37%</u>
<u>2000-2001</u>	<u>50%</u>	<u>50%</u>
<u>1999-2000</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>1998-99</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>1997-98</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>1996-97</u>	<u>41</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>1995-96</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>53</u>

Performance Indicators Outreach: Research Intern Program (SPRI Mentored Research)

- Demand By Students: Over 100 student (non-GSSM) inquiries, with 65 applications for the 13 available summer placements. Trend over time - fluctuates, dependent on funding availability for both recruitment and # of positions available.
- Quality: Academic Honors: At both the S.C. Junior Academy of Science Annual Conference and professional national conferences such as the American Association of Chemical Engineers, participants in Mentored Research Program continue to win honors and recognition for their research - including publication in professional journals. Trend over time - growing recognition. (Benchmark comparison: Off-the-scale at this level of involvement - we're still searching for a comparison.)
- Number of Participants - 76. Trend over time – steady with a little fluctuation, lower as funding is reduced. Placements will contract if additional budget reductions are made, increase as additional funding and contributors come on-line.
- Mentor Partners: Sixty-nine scientists from S.C. higher education and industry participated this year, at 16 partner research sites. A milestone was reached this year as both the College of Charleston and the Medical University of South Carolina joined the program as formal partners. Statewide, GSSM has identified 276 Ph.D. scientists at over 50 sites available to mentor at this high level. Business partners include DuPont, Michelin, Roche Carolina, Westvaco, and Sonoco are among this group. Trend over time - growing, participation depends largely on student scholarship availability. (Benchmark comparison: Higher Education's Performance Indicators IV B and VIII C.)

Performance Indicators Outreach: Summer Science Program:

Attendance: 259 9th and 10th graders. Trend over time: Variable 220 to 300 maximum.

- Number of SSP Sections Offered - 27. Trend over time – consistent.
- Number of S.C. Higher Education Partners, offering Ph.D. instructors: 10. Trend – varies on availability.
- Grants/In-Kind. Value of donated time of Ph.D. instructors estimated at over \$39,000. \$54,050 in donated scholarship support helped students participate. Trend over time – variable dependent upon grant sources – future increases sought.

Program Name: GEAR UP: Gaining Early Awareness for Undergraduate Programs.

A partnership of Higher Education (CHE), GSSM, Businesses (Chamber), SDE, ETV and Schools

Program Objectives: Involve 685 low-income middle school students annually throughout South Carolina to provide skills and encouragement so they will continue their education through college.

- Deliver hands-on science, math and technology instructional modules.
- GSSM Students mentor and teach 7th graders
- Conduct Saturday Academies for participants on GSSM campus and other educational sites around state. Six of the twelve Saturday academies were held on the GSSM campus.
- Number of GearUP 7th grade participants studying through program = 678: Trend: up from previous year's 400.
- Research, write and obtain continuation grant through partnerships: GSSM GearUp grant obtained with partners to conduct teacher training in future for low SES middle schools.

Support Services and Administration Performance Indicators:

Maintain Quality of Program: See Program area Indicators including:

- Faculty Qualifications: Maintain minimum Masters with over half of faculty having terminal degrees.
- Demand for Participation in GSSM Programs
- Manage budget reductions while maintaining programs and quality.
- New Facilities: Operation continues in partially completed facilities, opened in 2003. Maintaining system functions within reduced budgets, tracking operational costs and trends. Delay preventive maintenance until funds available. An additional \$13,178,693 (for 2006 bidding) is required to complete construction and outfit Phase II (which includes Academic/Science Center). Once facility is complete, expanding the student body (beginning in 2009?) will require operational increases for faculty/staff and student support.

Each year of delay in funding for Phase II results in higher construction costs (additional construction inflation estimate is now projected at over \$3,000,000), plus disruptions to our program such as moving students between new campus and leased facilities off-site.

Unfinished buildings include classrooms, laboratories and Student Activity areas (see diagram on next page). GSSM has made every effort to maintain program quality without full facilities. This includes teaching physics in the music room, history in one lobby area and mathematics in the other lobby. Without Phase II's science labs and classrooms, additional leased costs are required in addition to outfitting charges.

Private funds of over \$6,000,000 toward a private endowment goal designed for future programmatic enrichment have been obtained from business and individual donors through the GSSM Foundation. The land for GSSM's new campus in Hartsville was donated, along with landscaping assistance including an irrigation system.

South Carolina Governor's School for Science & Mathematics: Master Campus Plan - Phase I & Phase II

Phase I: Opened in August 2003

- Residential Space for up to 300 Students
- Dining Facilities
- Counseling, Student Support Facilities - Temporary Classrooms
- Faculty and Support Staff Offices

Phase II: Waiting on Funding...

- Academic Center:
 - Advanced Classrooms
 - Advanced Laboratories
- Student Activities Center



3. What are your performance levels and trends for the key measures of employee satisfaction, involvement and development?

Staff turnover rates. While all employees at GSSM serve critical functions, teacher turnover rates are particularly telling – because faculty are the key to quality academic results and there is a general teacher shortage both in South Carolina and in the nation. GSSM had two faculty position changes during this reporting period – both due to retirement. GSSM was successful in recruiting replacement personnel: both with PhD's in their field of expertise (mathematics). GSSM meets or exceeds turnover rates at similar residential specialized schools.

4. What are your performance levels and trends for the key measures of supplier/contractor/partners performance?

Maintenance incidents and reports are measured. 2004-2005 was the second year of operating a new building, so baseline numbers are still being established.

5. What are your performance levels and trends for the key measures of regulatory/legal compliance and citizenship?

-No lawsuits against agency (ever).

-GSSM works with and meets EOC reporting requirements (Report Card, EIA).

-GSSM works with and complies with BCB reporting requirements (Budgeting, Activity Inventory, Permanent Improvement Project process, etc.)

-GSSM has addressed recent budget cuts while maintaining quality outcomes and demonstrated flexibility, frugality and discipline in managing the possibility of receiving unclaimed lottery fund support during FY 2004-2005. These funds became “real” toward the end of the FY, in May. GSSM sought and received replacement of these funds for the 2005-2006 fiscal year – allowing a more solid fiscal planning process from which to operate.

6. What are your current levels and trends of financial performance?

State funding has been reduced due to overall state budget shortfalls, and grant support remains consistent. A private endowment drive – designed to support GSSM's margin of excellence in our programs - endowment campaign now has more than \$6,000,000 committed in pledges for future programmatic support. 210 companies have joined us as partners through the GSSM Foundation's Business Advisory Council.

With state budget cuts continuing, GSSM has been able to maintain all functional areas as reflected in our performance statistics. However, all options for expansion of programs have been eliminated and repairs (replacement) of necessary educational or residential materials (equipment) are not available.

Partnerships with Business and Industry have dramatically increased: GSSM, through its Foundation, has recruited over 210 “Business Advisory Council” partners to support the school both financially and with program support such as communication enhancement, research sites and providing mentor opportunities. This increase reflects the awareness that the results GSSM is achieving in advanced education directly impact the economic competitiveness of South Carolina. Trend over time: growing.

The overall trend for future state funding indicates the possibility of some restoration of funds and possible capital bond offerings necessary to complete GSSM facilities. Obtaining this capital resource is an ongoing commitment and priority necessary to complete the school and expand advanced academic opportunities and achievement to even more students and teachers throughout South Carolina.